A Silica-Supported Iron Oxide Catalyst Capable of Activating Hydrogen Peroxide at Neutral pH Values
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Iron oxides catalyze the conversion of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) into oxidants capable of transforming recalcitrant contaminants. Unfortunately, the process is relatively inefficient at circumneutral pH values because of competing reactions that decompose H2O2 without producing oxidants. Silica- and alumina-containing iron oxides prepared by sol−gel processing of aqueous solutions containing Fe(ClO4)3, AlCl3, and tetraethyl orthosilicate efficiently catalyzed the decomposition of H2O2 into oxidants capable of transforming phenol at circumneutral pH values. Relative to hematite, goethite, and amorphous FeOOH, the silica−iron oxide catalyst exhibited a stoichiometric efficiency, defined as the number of moles of phenol transformed per mole of H2O2 consumed, which was 10−40 times higher than that of the iron oxides. The silica−alumina−iron oxide catalyst had a stoichiometric efficiency that was 50−80 times higher than that of the iron oxides. The significant enhancement in oxidant production is attributable to the interaction of Fe with Al and Si in the mixed oxides, which alters the surface redox processes, favoring the production of strong oxidants during H2O2 decomposition.

Introduction

The activation of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) by iron minerals (e.g., hematite, goethite, iron-containing clays, and sands) and its application for contaminant oxidation has been intensively studied over the last two decades (1−6), and the process is being applied for in situ contaminant oxidation (5) as well as for wastewater treatment (6). The reaction offers significant advantages over Fenton’s reagent (mixture of Fe2+ and H2O2) because it does not generate iron sludge and is not restricted to acidic conditions. Unfortunately, the process is relatively slow and inefficient at circumneutral pH values because only a small fraction of the H2O2 is converted into oxidants that are capable of transforming recalcitrant contaminants (1, 7, 8). As a result, very large amounts of H2O2 are needed for in situ treatment or the water must be acidified prior to in situ treatment (6).

To overcome these limitations, heterogeneous iron-containing catalysts have been synthesized using silica supports to change the chemical environment of iron (9−12). For example, Chou et al. (10) developed a catalyst consisting of iron oxide on crushed brick. This composite catalyst oxidized more benzoic acid per mole of H2O2 consumed than goethite. However, the results of this study are difficult to interpret because the test solutions were unbuffered and pH decreased substantially during the experiments (from initial pH values of 3.2, 6.0, and 10.0 to 3.0, 4.3, and 5.8, respectively), and it is unclear how much of the enhanced efficiency was attributable to acidification of the solutions. In another study, iron oxide nanoparticles immobilized on alumina-coated mesoporous silica exhibited an ability to catalyze the transformation of a dye, Reactive Black 5, by H2O2 at pH 4.1 with an efficiency that was substantially greater than that of similar amounts of hematite and magnetite (9). Similar results have been reported for H2O2 activation by Fe- and Al-pillared clay catalysts (13−15). However, like the studies discussed above, most experiments were performed either under acidic conditions, at elevated temperatures, or in the presence of ultraviolet light.

While it appears that alumina and silica supports improve the performance of heterogeneous iron-containing catalysts, the mechanism through which this occurs is not well understood. Possible explanations for the higher efficiency of iron−silica catalysts include less efficient scavenging of hydroxyl radicals by silica relative to iron oxide surfaces (10) and more oxidant production due to the better dispersion of iron on the surface (9). In addition, alumina, as a Lewis acid, could facilitate the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) by H2O2, usually the rate-limiting step in the Fenton’s reagent chain reaction and, thus, accelerate activation of H2O2 (9).

The objective of this study was to determine how the presence of silica and alumina in an iron-containing catalyst alters H2O2 activation and contaminant oxidation at neutral pH values. For this purpose, silica- and alumina-containing iron precipitates were prepared, characterized, and assessed for catalytic activity relative to iron oxides. Phenol was selected as a model target contaminant because it is not significantly adsorbed on any of the oxides in the catalysts and has a well-characterized reaction with hydroxyl radical. Understanding the role of alumina and silica on H2O2 activation may lead to the development of more efficient catalysts that could be used for ex situ treatment and provide a mean of harnessing the heterogeneous Fenton process using naturally occurring or modified minerals in the subsurface.

Materials and Methods

Materials. All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without further purification. Phenol and ferric perchlorate were obtained from Aldrich. Ferric nitrate and aluminum chloride were obtained from Fisher. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was obtained from Alfa Aesar. All solutions were prepared using 18 MΩ Milli-Q water from a Millipore system.

Hematite was synthesized by aging freshly made ferrihydrite in a strongly alkaline solution at 90 °C for 48 h (16). The identity of hematite was verified by X-ray diffraction.

Commercial goethite and amorphous FeOOH were obtained from Fluka and Aldrich, respectively.
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FeSi-ox and FeAlSi-ox Synthesis. Precipitates containing iron, silicon, and aluminum were synthesized by a sol–gel process. Specifically, 100 mL of 1 M ethanol, 1 M TEOS, and either a 0.2 M Fe(ClO4)3·9H2O (FeSi-ox synthesis) or 0.2 M Fe(ClO4)3·9H2O and 0.2 M AlCl3 (FeAlSi-ox synthesis) aqueous solution were stirred and heated at 80 °C for 2 h in a 250 mL Pyrex flask. To initiate precipitation, 100 mL of 1.5 M ammonium hydroxide and 50 mL of water were added dropwise simultaneously (over about 15 min). After stirring at 80 °C for 2 h, the mixture was transferred to a 500 mL beaker and then dried at 110 °C for 24 h. The resulting particles were then washed three times with deionized water and dried at 110 °C for another 24 h.

Characterization. The surface area of the solids was determined using N2 physisorption in a Micromeretics 2000 system using the 5 point BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) method. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed with Cu Kα radiation using a Panalytical 2000 diffractometer. The morphology of FeSi-ox and FeAlSi-ox was determined using a FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope (TEM) at 100 kV and a Hitachi S-5000 scanning electron microscope (SEM) at 10 kV. The distribution of elements on the surface was determined using a LEO 439 scanning electron microscope coupled with a Princeton Gamma-Tech energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (SEM-EDX). The composition of the catalysts was measured by first dissolving particles in a concentrated solution of HCl and then measuring Fe and Al in the liquid phase using atomic spectrophotometry.

Oxidation of Phenol. All oxidation experiments were carried out at room temperature (20 ± 2 °C) in the dark in 50 mL of reaction solution. All reactors were open to the atmosphere. The initial concentration of phenol was 0.5 mM. The initial solution pH was adjusted using 1 M NaOH or 0.5 M H2SO4. The pH of solutions was buffered with 1 mM piperazine-N,N′-bis(ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES) for pH 7 or 1 mM borate for pH 8–9. Solutions with initial pH values of 5.5 were unbuffered. The pH was measured throughout each experiment, and the average pH value was calculated. The difference between the initial and final pH never exceeded 1.5 units in the experiments with pure iron oxides and 1 unit in the case of FeSi-ox and FeAlSi-ox.

The reactions were initiated by adding an aliquot of H2O2 stock solution to a pH-adjusted solution containing phenol and catalyst. In some experiments, 200 mM of tert-butanol (t-BuOH) was added as a hydroxyl radical scavenger. Samples were withdrawn at predetermined time intervals, filtered immediately through a 0.22 µm nylon filter, and analyzed for phenol and H2O2. Experiments were carried out at least in triplicate, and average values and standard deviations are presented.

Analytical Methods. Filtered samples were acidified to pH 2 and analyzed for phenol by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Waters Alliance HPLC system equipped with a 4.6 mm × 150 mm Waters Symmetry C18 5 µm column. A mobile phase consisting of 50% methanol and 50% water (pH 2) was used at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. Phenol was detected with UV absorbance detection at 270 nm. Prior to HPLC analysis, an excess amount of methanol (i.e., 50 µL) was added to 1 mL filtered aliquots to quench any additional oxidation reactions involving residual H2O2. H2O2 was analyzed spectrophotometrically by the titanium sulfate method (17). Total dissolved iron was quantified using the 1,10-phenanthroline method after adding hydroxylamine sulfate method (18). Amorphous xerogels, a typical product from sol–gel processing (19). The iron and aluminum content and BET surface areas of these materials are listed in Table 1.

Catalytic Performance toward H2O2 Decomposition and Phenol Oxidation. The oxidation of phenol catalyzed by FeAlSi-ox (4.9 wt % Al, 10.9 wt % Fe) is a pH-dependent process, with a reaction rate that decreases with increasing pH. After 8 h, over 90% of the phenol was transformed at pH 5.3, 30–35% at pH 6.9, and 23–25% at pH 8.5 (Figure 2). The concentration of phenol decreased by less than 15% in the presence of 200 mM t-BuOH at all three pH values (Figure 2). Control experiments (data not shown) showed that ascorbic acid accounted for less than 3% of the total phenol loss and thus can be neglected compared to losses due to oxidation. A gradual increase of total dissolved iron [Fe(TOT)] was observed during the experiments conducted in the absence of t-BuOH (inset of Figure 2).

While t-BuOH decreased phenol loss at all pH values, H2O2 decomposition was retarded by t-BuOH only at pH 5.3 (Figure 3). At pH 5.3, approximately 30% of the initial H2O2 was decomposed over 8 h in the t-BuOH-free system, while less than 5% of the H2O2 decomposed in the presence of 200 mM t-BuOH.

The catalytic performance of the FeAlSi-ox catalyst was compared to the alumina-free analog, FeSi-ox (12.3 wt % Fe) at pH 6.9. The alumina-free catalyst resulted in faster H2O2 decomposition and phenol transformation (Figure 4).

The rate of H2O2 decomposition and transformation of phenol catalyzed by iron oxides was also investigated over

![Figure 1. FeAlSi-ox obtained by sol–gel processing of an aqueous mixture of Fe(ClO4)3, Al(NO3)3, and TEOS: (A) SEM, (B) TEM, and (C) XRD.](image)

**TABLE 1. Properties of Different Fe-Containing Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of material</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Fe content (wt %)</th>
<th>Al content (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hematite</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goethite</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amorphous FeOOH</td>
<td>165.8</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSi-ox</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeAlSi-ox</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Theoretical value.  Value reported by the manufacturer.
pH values ranging from 5.5 to 8.8. After 8 h, approximately 20–35% of the initial H$_2$O$_2$ was decomposed in the hematite/H$_2$O$_2$ system, whereas all of the H$_2$O$_2$ was decomposed in the presence of amorphous FeOOH and commercial goethite (Figure SI 2 of the Supporting Information). However, phenol transformation catalyzed by iron oxides was very low: less than 1% phenol loss was observed for amorphous FeOOH and commercial goethite. For hematite, the concentration of phenol decreased by approximately 5–7% mainly due to surface adsorption. The stoichiometric efficiency, defined as the amount of phenol decomposed per mole of H$_2$O$_2$ consumed \([\Delta[\text{phenol}]/(\Delta[H_2O_2]) \times 100\%]\), was used to compare the performance of the catalysts. For each experiment, we calculated the stoichiometric efficiency after 25% of the phenol was transformed to ensure that the comparisons were valid. This is necessary because the products of phenol transformation (e.g., hydroquinone) could react with oxidants and decrease the apparent efficiency. Conversely, measuring the stoichiometric efficiency early in the reaction (i.e., when less than 10% of the phenol was transformed) could result in reduced precision due to difficulties in detecting small losses of phenol. In the iron oxide/H$_2$O$_2$ systems, phenol loss was always less than 25%, and in these cases, \([\Delta[\text{phenol}]] values at the end of the experiments were used to determine stoichiometric efficiency. The stoichiometric efficiency of the FeAlSi-ox catalyst was 3 to 4 times greater than that of the FeSi-ox catalyst and approximately 50–80 times greater than that of the iron oxides over the pH range studied (Figure 5).

Discussion
Activation of H$_2$O$_2$ by Iron Oxides. The decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ by pure iron oxides (e.g., goethite and hematite) has been studied over a wide pH range. Under acidic conditions, the process appears to be controlled by redox cycling of surface and dissolved iron (i.e., Fe$^{II}$/Fe$^{III}$), the latter resulting from dissolution of iron oxides (20, 21). At circumneutral pH values, the contribution of dissolved iron to H$_2$O$_2$ activation should be minimal because Fe$^{III}$ is sparingly soluble (22). Therefore, the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ under circumneutral pH conditions is likely a surface-catalyzed process.

It has been suggested that the surface-initiated H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition proceeds through a chain reaction that is analogous to the Fe$^{III}$ − initiated decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ that was initially described by Haber and Weiss under acidic conditions (23–25). The oxidation of organic contaminants during H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition has been attributed to hydroxyl radical (\text{OH}) production from the reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with reduced surface iron (i.e., Fe$^{II}$) (Scheme 1 and Reaction 2 in Table 2).
SCHEM E 1. Haber-Weiss Mechanism

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \overset{=\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}}{=} \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} + \cdot\text{OH} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \overset{=\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}}{=} \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{O}_2 + \cdot\text{H} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \overset{=\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}}{=} \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{O}_2 + \cdot\text{H} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The application of the iron oxide/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) systems for oxidation of contaminants has been limited by the extremely low stoichiometric efficiency of oxidant production at neutral pH values (refs 1, 7 and Figure 5). The low efficiency is often attributed to the generation of \(\cdot\text{OH}\) in areas on the oxide surface that are inaccessible to the contaminants (e.g., \(\cdot\text{OH}\) is scavenged by the iron oxide surface) (26). While little is known about the exact structure and reactivity of such surface-bound oxidants, solution phase \(\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}\) species are less reactive than \(\cdot\text{OH}\) and do not react with aromatic compounds to an appreciable extent (27). Some investigators also have suggested that the decomposition of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) on the surface of iron oxides may proceed mainly through a non-radical mechanism that converts H\(_2\)O\(_2\) directly into O\(_2\) and \(\cdot\text{H}\) by a series of 2e\(^{-}\) transfer reactions (e.g., by the presence of oxygen vacancies on the surface (28) or the cycling of \(=\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}/=\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\) as proposed in Scheme 2 and Reactions 9–11 in Table 2). The principal net reaction in these pathways is the conversion of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) into H\(_2\)O and O\(_2\) without the production of \(\cdot\text{OH}\).

Efficiency Enhancement with FeAlSi-ox and FeSi-ox. The stoichiometric efficiency of FeSi-ox and FeAlSi-ox is much higher than that of iron oxides (Figure 5). In the FeAlSi-ox/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) system, the rate of phenol transformation decreases dramatically with increasing pH (Figure 2), while the rate of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) loss only varies by about 15% with a minimum at pH 6.9 (Figure 3). The decreased rate of phenol loss at higher pH values appears to be attributable to a decrease in the production of oxidants capable of reacting with phenol. The oxidation of phenol in this system is most likely due to \(\cdot\text{OH}\) because upon addition of \(\cdot\text{BuOH}\) phenol transformation rate decreased significantly (Figure 2).

As mentioned previously, \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) is sparingly soluble at circumneutral pH values. The concentration of soluble \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) is expected to range from 0.001 to 0.1 \(\mu\)M over pH values ranging from 5.5 to 9, assuming that the system is in equilibrium with 2-line ferrihydrite (22). As the reaction proceeded, however, the concentration of dissolved iron increased to 20 ± 10 \(\mu\)M at pH 5.3 and 2 ± 1 \(\mu\)M at pH 6.9–8.5 (inset of Figure 2). This dissolution of \(\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\) was attributable to the interaction of surface iron and intermediate oxidation products of phenol (e.g., hydroquinones, organic acids) that enhance iron solubility via complexation and reductive dissolution (20). This hypothesis was supported by the fact that iron leaching and phenol oxidation were not observed upon addition of \(\cdot\text{BuOH}\) as little intermediates were formed.

In a previous study (21), the activation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) by dissolved iron was observed at \([\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}]\) as low as 0.42 \(\mu\)M. Consequently, to determine whether the higher stoichiometric efficiency of FeAlSi-ox/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) system was due to better H\(_2\)O\(_2\) activation by the FeAlSi-ox surface or to activation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) by dissolved iron, we investigated the proportions of homogeneous and heterogeneous reaction using filtration to isolate the solution-phase reaction (Figure SI 3 of the Supporting Information). Following filtration, at pH 6.9 and 8.5, the phenol concent-
**Environmental Implications.** The silica- and alumina-containing iron oxide catalyst has the potential to be more effective in the oxidative treatment of industrial waste and contaminated water at circumneutral pH values than iron oxides studied previously for this application. While over 90% of the water that was lost in the presence of the catalyst does not produce oxidants capable of transforming aromatic compounds, the absence of a pH adjustment step, minimal waste production, and low potential for production of toxic byproducts may provide advantages over other approaches. Additional research is needed to further enhance the efficiency of the catalyst and assess the upscaling of the treatment systems employing the catalyst.

This study also has important implications for the design and operation of in situ remediation systems that use H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for oxidation of contaminants. Previous studies on the mechanism of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) reduction by pure iron oxides indicated that iron oxides can activate H\(_2\)O\(_2\) into species capable of oxidizing contaminants. Researchers studying pure iron oxides suggested that iron-containing minerals in the subsurface could be exploited to activate H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for in situ remediation. The present study suggests that iron oxides associated with alumina and silica may behave differently from pure iron oxides. The activity of iron associated with aluminosilicates and silica-containing minerals may help to explain differences in the production of oxidants observed during H\(_2\)O\(_2\) decomposition in soils (24). Additional research on the stoichiometric efficiency of aquifer materials may lead to better predictions of the efficiency of H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-based in situ remediation systems.
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