Rapid reduction in breast cancer mortality with inorganic arsenic in drinking water
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Summary

Background Arsenic trioxide is effective in treating promyelocytic leukemia, and laboratory studies demonstrate that arsenic trioxide causes apoptosis of human breast cancer cells. Region II in northern Chile experienced very high concentrations of inorganic arsenic in drinking water, especially in the main city Antofagasta from 1958 until an arsenic removal plant was installed in 1970.

Methods We investigated breast cancer mortality from 1950 to 2010 among women in Region II compared to Region V, which had low arsenic water concentrations. We conducted studies on human breast cancer cell lines and compared arsenic exposure in Antofagasta with concentrations inducing apoptosis in laboratory studies.

Findings Before 1958, breast cancer mortality rates were similar, but in 1958-1970 the rates in Region II were half those in Region V (rate ratio RR = 0·51; 95% CI 0·40-0·66; p<0·0001). Women under the age of 60 experienced a 70% reduction in breast cancer mortality during 1965-1970 (RR=0·30, 0·17-0·54; p<0·0001). Breast cancer cell culture studies showed apoptosis at arsenic concentrations close to those estimated to have occurred in people in Region II.

Interpretation We found biologically plausible major reductions in breast cancer mortality during high exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water which could not be attributed to bias or confounding. We recommend clinical trial assessment of inorganic arsenic in the treatment of advanced breast cancer.
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Introduction

Arsenic has been used therapeutically for more than 2,400 years for many different conditions.\(^1\) Following initial studies in China in the early 1980s,\(^2,3\) arsenic trioxide—along with retinoic acid—has become the treatment of choice for relapsed promyelocytic leukemia, usually resulting in complete remission of this highly fatal rare disease.\(^4,5\) Apoptosis occurs in human promyelocytic leukemia cells exposed to arsenic trioxide in laboratory studies.\(^6\) The idea that human breast cancer might also be treatable with arsenic arose from findings of apoptosis in human breast cancer cells exposed to arsenic trioxide.\(^7-11\) Several mechanisms have been proposed. For example, arsenic has been shown to cause induction of functional re-expression of the estrogen receptor in estrogen negative breast cancer cells, which could make them less aggressive.\(^9\) Breast cancer remains a major cause of death in the United States and other countries. Mortality has reduced in the last few decades, but less so for estrogen-negative cancers.\(^12\)

Chile is divided into regions, and Region II in northern Chile is extremely dry (the driest inhabited location on earth), with no private wells or alternative water sources, so the entire population uses municipal water. Before 1958, the major city of Antofagasta water contained about 90 \(\mu\)g/L arsenic. In 1958, a new system was installed which involved piping water from distant river sources; between 1958 and 1970, arsenic water concentrations averaged 870 \(\mu\)g/L.\(^13\) In 1970, an arsenic removal plant was installed and arsenic levels dropped sharply to about 110 \(\mu\)g/L. Arsenic removal has improved and the city water now contains less than 10 \(\mu\)g/L, which is the World Health Organization recommendation for maximum arsenic concentrations in drinking water.\(^14\) Other smaller cities and towns in Region II also had high arsenic concentrations during overlapping periods, although not as high as in Antofagasta.\(^15\)

We have previously demonstrated markedly increased mortality in Region II of Chile from cancers of the lung, bladder, and kidney, starting about ten years after high exposure commenced, with increased risks continuing decades after exposure reduction.\(^13,15-17\) These findings are consistent with other studies, and arsenic in drinking water is now an established cause of lung and bladder cancer.\(^18\) However, in assessing
all causes of death from 1950-2010, we were surprised to see that breast cancer mortality was actually reduced during the high exposure period. This paper reports these findings in light of the potential therapeutic role of inorganic arsenic.

**Methods**

Computerized mortality data were available for all of Chile after 1970, but not before. We therefore designed a mortality study comparing death rates in Region II with Region V from 1950-2010, since it would have been prohibitively expensive to code death certificates before 1970 for the whole country. For the years 1950-1970, we digitally photographed all death certificates (218,000) for Region II and Region V; they were then coded by trained nosologists according to the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9). Death certificate data from both regions were intermingled after computer entry, and nosologists were kept blind as to the region from which death certificates came. After 1970, coded computerized mortality data were obtained from the Instituto Nacional de Estadística de Chile.

**Arsenic Exposure Data**

We obtained water arsenic concentrations for Region II of Chile, and concentrations for the city of Antofagasta are plotted in Figure 1. The nearby town of Mejillones shared the same water source, so that about 50% of the population of Region II would have experienced these very high exposures. We also obtained arsenic water concentrations for other cities and towns in Region II, most of which also had increased arsenic concentrations in their water. For example, the second largest city of Calama with a population of 100,283 had arsenic water concentrations above 200 μg/L until 1978, when arsenic removal commenced in that city.

**Comparison Population**
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We selected Region V of Chile as a comparison population because it was considerably larger than Region II, giving good statistical power, and had never experienced significant population exposure to arsenic in drinking water. For example, the major city of this region (Valparaíso, with a population of about 300,000 in 1970) has arsenic water concentrations close to 1 μg/L. A survey of Valparaíso urine arsenic concentrations had an average of 15 μg/L in 1984, a level typically found in low exposure populations. To check that Region V was an appropriate comparison population with regard to other characteristics, we compared census information for the years 1952, 1960 and 1970.

**Statistical analysis**

To investigate the temporal relation of breast cancer and ovarian cancer mortality to changes in the water concentration of arsenic, we categorized calendar years into four time periods on the basis of exposure in Region II: 1950-1957 (low exposure), 1958-1970 (high exposure), 1971-2000 (low-moderate exposure), and 2001-2010 (low exposure). We estimated breast cancer (ICD-9 code 174) and ovarian cancer (ICD-9 code 183) mortality rate ratios (RR) using Poisson regression analysis comparing Region II with Region V, with adjustment for age in 10-year strata from 30 to 80 years of age (SAS version 9.3, SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

**Breast cancer cell line studies and arsenic concentrations**

We conducted studies to investigate the toxic effects of arsenic trioxide on human cancer cells *in vitro*, using the breast cancer cell lines MCF7, MDA-MB-468, BT474, and SKBR3, and the noncancerous breast cell line MCF10A. Following 72h of treatment with As$_2$O$_3$ at varying concentrations between 0.1 and 40 μM, breast cancer cell viability was measured. Breast cancer cells were also treated with 0.5, or 4.5 μM As$_2$O$_3$ for 72h, and assessed by immunoblot analysis. Methodological details are given in Supplementary Materials p 1.
Results

Table 1 presents the census demographic data available for 1952, 1960, and 1970. There were higher percentages of men, consistent with extensive mining activities in Region II. Other factors, including the percentage of births to mothers under the age of 20, were similar for both regions. More recent census data and surveys comparing Region II with Region V or all of Chile are summarized in Supplementary Materials p 2. No major differences have been apparent in any factors that might have a meaningful impact on breast cancer mortality.

Table 2 presents breast cancer mortality RRs separated into the main exposure periods (Supplementary Materials p 3 gives more detailed age-group data). Before 1958, breast cancer mortality was about the same in Region II and V (mortality rate ratio RR=1·07, 95% CI 0·78-1·47). Breast cancer mortality reduced in Region II in the period 1958-70 relative to Region V (RR=0·51, CI 0·40-0·66; p<0·0001). The difference was most pronounced among women under the age 60 who had 70% lower mortality in Region II than in Region V in the second part of the high exposure period, 1965-70 (RR=0·30, CI 0·17-0·54; p<0·0001). Thereafter, mortality from breast cancer remained a little lower in Region II than Region V, but the differences became much smaller, and by the years 2001-2010, the all-ages combined RR was 0·87, CI 0·77-0·99.

Figure 1 plots the age-adjusted breast cancer mortality RRs comparing Region II with Region V. The arsenic removal plant in Antofagasta commenced operations in 1970 and mortality from breast cancer in Region II started to increase again soon after. In Supplementary Materials p 4-5, we present mortality year by year before, during and after the high exposure period. The Region V breast cancer mortality rates increased gradually over the years, similar to increases reported in many countries. Although the numbers in any one year are relatively small, the data suggest that breast cancer mortality in Region II started to reduce when the high exposures commenced in 1958. After the arsenic removal plant was installed, mortality rates in Region II gradually increased again until they became close to those in Region
V. Since the period of very high exposure in Antofagasta, there have been fluctuations in breast cancer mortality in Region II, with continuation of exposure in many locations. For example, the small town of Chiu Chiu had high concentrations of arsenic in the water (750 \( \mu \text{g/L} \)) until the installation of an arsenic removal system in 2001.\textsuperscript{23,24}

We also examined ovarian cancer mortality; in the high exposure period, the RR reduced to 0.62 (95% CI 0.38-1.02; 2-tailed p=0.06). Since with ovarian cancer we had a clear \textit{a priori} hypothesis that mortality might be reduced based on our breast cancer findings, we also calculated a 1-tailed test of significance (p=0.03). As with breast cancer mortality, the mortality RR was even lower among women aged 30-59 (RR=0.55, 95% CI 0.29-1.06; 1-tailed p= 0.04). However, the differences between Region II and Region V ovarian cancer mortality were mainly due to a marked increase in Region V (from 3.05 to 6.18 per 100,000), whereas the reduction in Region II rates was relatively small (from 4.82 to 3.52 per 100,000, Supplementary Materials p 6). Reasons for the marked increase in Region V are not known, but data back to 1960 from Greece, Italy and Spain also show similar marked increases in ovarian cancer mortality.\textsuperscript{25}

\textit{Treatment of breast cancer in Chile}

We investigated breast cancer treatment in Chile in the 1950s and 1960s. Research on new breast cancer treatment methods was conducted in University Medical Schools in the capital of Santiago,\textsuperscript{26} and not in Region II. Discussions with clinicians in Antofagasta gave no evidence of any special treatment for breast cancer which differed from that in the rest of Chile or Region V. The standard treatment prior to 1970 was radical mastectomy, sometimes followed by radiotherapy. There was no hint of any treatment changes in Region II of Chile in 1958-1970 which could explain our findings.

\textit{Breast cancer cell line studies and arsenic concentrations}

Figure 2 (Panel A), presents our findings for human breast cancer cell lines grown up to 72h in varying concentrations of \( \text{As}_2\text{O}_3 \) and assessed by Alamar blue assay (Supplementary Materials p 1). These cell lines are representative of the major molecular subtypes of breast cancer, including HER2/Neu-positive
(SKBR3 and BT474), ER-positive (MCF7), and triple negative/basal-like (MDA-MB-468). Results for the non-tumorigenic immortalized breast epithelial cell line MCF10A are also shown in Figure 2. In contrast to the breast cancer cells, MCF10A cells demonstrated no reduction in cell viability from As$_2$O$_3$ treatments at or below 2.5 μM, although viability significantly declined at higher concentrations. BT474, SKBR3, and MCF7 cells demonstrated similar responses to escalating concentrations of As$_2$O$_3$, with cell viability impaired at the lowest effective doses of 1.25, 2.5, and 2.5 μM, respectively. MDA468 cell viability was significantly impaired at As$_2$O$_3$ concentrations as low as 0.3 μM. In all cell lines tested, treatment with As$_2$O$_3$ at concentrations greater than 5 μM produced significant cytotoxic effects.

To investigate the mechanism by which arsenic induces cell cytotoxicity, cell lines were grown for 72h in As$_2$O$_3$ (0, 0.5 or 4.5 μM) and apoptosis was examined by immunoblot analysis of PARP cleavage (Figure 2). In all cell lines tested, treatment with 4.5 μM As$_2$O$_3$ induced cleavage of endogenous 116 kDa PARP into 85 kDa fragments, indicating the occurrence of apoptotic cell death. Treatment with 0.5 μM As$_2$O$_3$ induced apoptosis in MCF7, MDA-MB-468, and BT474 cells, but not in SKBR3 or MCF10A cells. Arsenic-induced cell death was also assessed using a Muse™ Annexin V & Dead Cell Assay (Figure 2, Panel B). Consistent with the immunoblot analysis, Annexin-V staining showed that 72h of treatment with 4.5 μM As$_2$O$_3$ produced significant apoptosis in all cell lines tested (Panel C).

The findings from human cancer breast cell lines would indicate that a concentration of 1-2 μM arsenic trioxide is having apoptotic effects with just a few days of exposure. This concentration is close to the human exposure to inorganic arsenic we estimated to have occurred in Antofagasta during the high exposure period (Supplementary Materials p 7).

**Discussion**

We have previously reported markedly increased mortality in Region II of Chile from now well established arsenic-related cancers. Carcinogenic effects follow arsenic exposure with long latency intervals, usually starting more than ten years after exposure commences, and in the case of lung
and bladder cancer, going on even 40 years after exposure reduction.\textsuperscript{16} The reduction in breast cancer mortality in Region II of Chile started quickly after exposure commenced (Supplementary Materials p 4-5), and the trend reversed soon after exposures were reduced in Antofagasta in 1970. The city of Calama, and a number of smaller towns and villages, continued to have high arsenic exposure after 1970, in some cases even up to the year 2000. We believe these exposures may account for the continuing low breast cancer mortality, but we have no satisfactory explanation for the RR reaching about 1 in 1978 and then going down again (Figure 1). The error bars raise the possibility that there was some random fluctuation in numbers.

The decrease in breast cancer mortality in Region II during the highest exposure period cannot be attributed to chance, with tests of significance yielding p-values less than 0·001. In fact, using the Proc Genmod procedure in SAS for Poisson regression analysis, the test of statistical significance for reduced breast cancer mortality in Region II in the period 1958-1970 yielded a p-value of less than 1 in a million. We therefore focus on whether or not the findings could be attributed to confounding or information bias, and assess biological plausibility.

Confounding

It is inconceivable that findings we present concerning breast cancer could be due to confounding. There are several reasons for this: 1) Risk factors, such as genetics, ethnic composition, age at menarche, and environmental factors such as those related to diet, obesity, smoking and alcohol consumption, could not have changed rapidly enough in the total population of Region II to account for reduced breast cancer mortality compared to Region V starting in 1958, and then reversed themselves rapidly to account for increased mortality starting in 1971; 2) Changes in socioeconomic status and lifestyle are most unlikely to occur in a manner reducing only breast and ovarian cancer mortality, and not mortality from other causes. In contrast to the breast cancer RR of 0·51 (CI 0·40-0·66), the RR for all causes of mortality other than from breast and ovarian cancer in the period 1958-1970 was 0·97 (CI 0·94-0·99) (Table 2); 3) It is most
unlikely that confounding could explain reductions in risk of the magnitude we found—for example, the 70% reduction in mortality in women under age 60.

**Information bias**

Information bias also cannot explain the findings: 1) Region II is the driest inhabited place on earth and everyone had to drink from the municipal water sources. Exposure misclassification could not bias the findings since virtually everyone in Region II was very highly exposed, and there has never been any evidence of high concentrations of arsenic in water in Region V; 2) Regarding misdiagnosis of cause of death on death certificates, there would have to be a sudden reduction in classifying breast cancer as a cause of death in Region II compared to Region V starting in 1958, and a sudden reversal after 1970. There is no evidence for this, and the mortality differences were most apparent in younger women under the age of 60 when misdiagnosis of breast cancer on death certificates is unlikely. In addition, Chile had (and still has) uniform medical training and health services throughout the country, including Region II and Region V.

**Biological plausibility**

Li et al. and Chow et al. studied human breast cancer MCF-7 cells and identified the inhibitory effects of arsenic trioxide on tumor cell proliferation.\(^{10,11}\) They found no cytotoxic effects on normal fibroblast cells at these concentrations and they concluded that arsenic trioxide might be a good candidate for treating breast cancer. Wang et al. reported that arsenic trioxide induces apoptosis in MCF7 cells in a time- and concentration-dependent manner.\(^8\) In addition, ovarian cancer cell lines studies have found them to be responsive to arsenic trioxide.\(^{28}\) We assessed the effects of arsenic trioxide on a variety of human cancer cell lines representing major subtypes of breast cancer. In all cell lines tested, As\(_2\)O\(_3\) treatment suppressed tumor growth starting at concentrations around 1 µM. Our findings are consistent with those previously reported by Xia et al. and Sun et al., both of which demonstrated the cytotoxic effects of micromolar concentrations of arsenic trioxide in multiple breast cancer cell lines.\(^{7,29}\) Here, we also demonstrated
cytotoxic effects on breast cancer cells resulting from submicromolar concentrations of arsenic trioxide, in support of the results of Ahn et al.\textsuperscript{30} We found that submicromolar levels of arsenic trioxide significantly impaired the triple negative breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-468 in a concentration-dependent manner.

The plausibility of our findings in Chile increased further when we considered dose. \textit{In vitro} assays often involve very high concentrations of toxic agents, much higher than those experienced by humans in daily life. However, the apoptotic effects of As\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} on human breast cancer cells start in a few days at doses as low as 1-2 µM (2-4 µM of inorganic arsenic), as seen in Figure 2 and in previous studies.\textsuperscript{7-11} Women with breast cancer in Antofagasta in the years 1958-1970 would have had continuous exposure with 1-2 µM of inorganic arsenic in their urine (Supplementary Materials p 8), and probably commensurate concentrations in organ tissues throughout their bodies. We are not aware of any data on breast tissue arsenic concentrations, but studies after acute poisoning find arsenic to be distributed in most organs throughout the body.\textsuperscript{31} It is also likely that some methylated inorganic arsenic, in particular MMA and DMA in trivalent form, could contribute to breast cancer apoptosis in addition to the activity of inorganic arsenic itself.\textsuperscript{32}

Ovarian cancer shares with breast cancer several lifestyle and environmental risk factors that are associated with endogenous and exogenous hormone exposure,\textsuperscript{33} and they also share common genetic risk factors; family history is a risk factor for both. Initially, we had not looked at ovarian cancer mortality in Chile because it is relatively rare. However, when we did so, with a clear \textit{a priori} hypothesis that the mortality might be reduced, we found that although the numbers were small the trend was similar to that for breast cancer.

We know of no other human data on breast cancer mortality rates associated with concurrent exposure to arsenic in drinking water. In addition, as explained in Supplementary Materials p 9, any epidemiological findings concerning arsenic and breast cancer mortality in other populations are unlikely to add significantly to the information we present from Chile.
We suggest that the evidence from Chile, and from *in vitro* breast cancer cell studies, are sufficient to consider proceeding with a clinical trial of patients with advanced breast cancer. We already have many studies on long-term arsenic health effects, and the side effects associated with treatment of promyelocytic leukemia with arsenic are well-known. Our findings from Chile suggest that high doses sometimes used in treatment of promyelocytic leukemia would not be needed. Intravenous doses of 10 mg of arsenic trioxide per day for leukemia patients result in urine total arsenic concentrations of 4000 to 5000 µg/g creatinine in urine, whereas drinking water arsenic concentrations of about 600 µg/L in our study in Chile resulted in urine total arsenic concentrations of about 600 µg/g of creatinine. Importantly, this comparison suggests that inorganic arsenic in drinking water in the treatment of breast cancer could be at much lower doses than those given with intravenous therapy of promyelocytic leukemia.

**Conclusions**

We report a major reduction in breast cancer soon after high exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water commenced in northern Chile, which could not have resulted from confounding. Once an arsenic removal plant was installed in the major city of Antofagasta, breast cancer mortality started to increase back to rates close to that of the unexposed comparison population. Findings of *in vitro* studies on human breast cancer give scientific plausibility to these findings. We suggest that there is sufficient supportive evidence to embark on clinical trials of inorganic arsenic in the treatment of advanced human breast cancer.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1. Age-adjusted breast cancer rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals, comparing Region II with Region V, 1950-2010. Each point represents an estimate for 5 years and is plotted at the midpoint of the 5-year period, starting with the estimate for 1950-1954, which is plotted at the year 1952. Gray histograms show arsenic concentration in the drinking water in Antofagasta.

Figure 2. Analysis of the effects of As$_2$O$_3$ on breast cancer cell lines.
Table 1: Demographic comparisons of Region II with Region V using available census data for 1952, 1960, and 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Region II</th>
<th>Region V</th>
<th>Region II</th>
<th>Region V</th>
<th>Region II</th>
<th>Region V</th>
<th>Region II</th>
<th>Region V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>184,824</td>
<td>215,219</td>
<td>251,906</td>
<td>498,254</td>
<td>617,510</td>
<td>738,336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically active</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth rate per 1000</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to mothers under 20</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to mothers over 40</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*data not in census
Table 2: Breast and ovarian cancer and all other causes deaths and mortality rate ratios (RR) comparing Region II in four arsenic exposure periods with Region V which was not exposed to arsenic in drinking water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>1950-57 low exposure in Region II</th>
<th>1958-70 high exposure in Region II</th>
<th>1971-2000 low-moderate exposure in Region II*</th>
<th>2001-2010 low exposure in Region II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+ Total</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-59</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>1.07 (0.78-1.47)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.01 (0.66-1.55)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+ Total</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-59</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.68 (0.87-3.27)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.54 (0.69-3.47)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other causes</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+ Total</td>
<td>3066</td>
<td>14995</td>
<td>0.98 (0.94-1.02)</td>
<td>5932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-59</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>5358</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94-1.06)</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>9637</td>
<td>0.96 (0.91-1.01)</td>
<td>3939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* excluding 1976 since no data were obtained for that year due to political unrest
Figure 1
Figure 2.

MCF10A, MCF7, MDA-468, BT474, and SKBR3 cells were treated with physiologically relevant concentrations of As$_2$O$_3$ and evaluated at 72h for cell viability (A), and apoptosis by immunoblotting (B) or Annexin-V staining (C). Panel A: Cell viability was assessed by alamar Blue assay. Results are expressed as the percent of live cells relative to untreated controls. The data represent mean values of three experiments. Panel B: PARP cleavage was detected by immunoblotting and was used as an indication for apoptosis. Actin level was measured as a loading control. Panel C: Apoptotic cells were quantified using a Muse™ Annexin V & Dead Cell Assay Kit. Results are expressed as a percentage of total cells.
Highlights

- We report major reductions in breast cancer mortality during high exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water.
- These findings could not be attributed to bias or confounding.
- Our breast cancer cell studies showed apoptosis at low concentrations of arsenic.
- We recommend clinical trial assessment of inorganic arsenic in the treatment of advanced breast cancer.