Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/reviewsmr Community address: www.elsevier.com/locate/mutres

Review

Toxicogenomic profiling of chemically exposed humans in risk assessment

Cliona M. McHale*, Luoping Zhang, Alan E. Hubbard, Martyn T. Smith

School of Public Health, Divisions of Environmental Health Sciences, and Biostatistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 March 2010 Accepted 1 April 2010 Available online xxx

Keywords: Toxicogenomics Risk assessment Biomarkers Chemical exposure Systems biology

ABSTRACT

Gene-environment interactions contribute to complex disease development. The environmental contribution, in particular low-level and prevalent environmental exposures, may constitute much of the risk and contribute substantially to disease. Systematic risk evaluation of the majority of human chemical exposures, has not been conducted and is a goal of regulatory agencies in the U.S. and worldwide. With the recent recognition that toxicological approaches more predictive of effects in humans are required for risk assessment, in vitro human cell line data as well as animal data are being used to identify toxicity mechanisms that can be translated into biomarkers relevant to human exposure studies. In this review, we discuss how data from toxicogenomic studies of exposed human populations can inform risk assessment, by generating biomarkers of exposure, early effect, and/or susceptibility, elucidating mechanisms of action underlying exposure-related disease, and detecting response at low doses. Good experimental design incorporating precise, individual exposure measurements, phenotypic anchors (pre-disease or traditional toxicological markers), and a range of relevant exposure levels, is necessary. Further, toxicogenomic studies need to be designed with sufficient power to detect true effects of the exposure. As more studies are performed and incorporated into databases such as the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD) and Chemical Effects in Biological Systems (CEBS), data can be mined for classification of newly tested chemicals (hazard identification), and, for investigating the dose-response, and inter-relationship among genes, environment and disease in a systems biology approach (risk characterization).

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introd	Introduction					
2.	Curren	Current approaches in the prediction of human toxicological outcomes					
3.	Toxicogenomic analysis of exposed human populations						
	3.1.	3.1. Overview of human toxicogenomic studies					
	3.2.	.2. Transcriptomics					
		3.2.1.	Human transcriptomic studies	000			
		3.2.2.	Benzene transcriptomics	000			
		3.2.3.	Arsenic transcriptomics	000			
3.3. Proteomics		ics	000				
		3.3.1.	Human proteomic studies	000			
		3.3.2.	Benzene proteomics	000			
		3.3.3.	Arsenic proteomics	000			

E-mail addresses: cmchale@berkeley.edu (C.M. McHale), luoping@berkeley.edu (L. Zhang), hubbard@berkeley.edu (A.E. Hubbard), martynts@berkeley.edu (M.T. Smith).

1383-5742/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.mrrev.2010.04.001

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; BC, buffy coat; CB, cord blood; CEBS, Chemical Effects in Biological Systems; CNV, copy-number variation; CS, cigarette smoke; CTAP-III, connective tissue activating peptide III; CTD, Comparative Toxicogenomics Database; DAPK, death-associated protein kinase; EPA, Environmental Protection Agency; ETS, environmental tobacco smoke; FDR, false discovery rate; FWER, family-wise error rate; GSEA, gene-set enrichment analysis; GWAS, Genome-wide association studies; HBD-1, human β-defensin-1; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization/time of flight; MAQC, MicroArray Quality Control; MDS, myelodysplastic syndromes; MIAME, Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment; MIAPE, Minimum information about a proteomics experiment; MS, mass spectrometry; NAS, National Academies of Sciences; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PB, peripheral blood; PBL, peripheral blood lymphocytes; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PF4, platelet factor 4; SELDI-TOF, surface enhanced laser desorption/ionisation; WBC, white blood cells.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 510 643 5349; fax: +1 510 642 0427.

\sim

C.M. McHale et al. / Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

	3.4.	4. Epigenomics					
		3.4.1.	Human epigenomic studies	000			
		3.4.2.	Benzene epigenomics	000			
		3.4.3.	Arsenic epigenomics	000			
4. Contribution of human toxicogenomic data to risk assessment							
	4.1. Hazard identification						
	4.2. Exposure and dose-response assessment						
	racterization	000					
5.	Syster	ystems biology approach to human toxicogenomics					
6.	Challe	hallenges in human toxicogenomic study design					
7.	Conclusions						
	Ackno	Acknowledgements					
	References						

1. Introduction

Human disease is thought to arise when the normal physiological state of an individual, determined by the unique genetic background (genome), is perturbed by the exposome, a term describing all exposures from conception onwards [1]. Such perturbations can be assessed by measuring the components of the responsome (transcriptome, proteome, miRNome, methylome) using toxicogenomic technologies. The variability of the human genome and the exposomes encountered leads to a wide range of possible outcomes in a population. Thus, gene–environment interactions contribute to disease development and progression across the life stages especially in susceptible individuals. Comprehensive analysis of the genome, exposome and responsome are necessary to elucidate these processes.

Recently, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several disease risk alleles, inherited variations or polymorphisms in gene sequences. Results from GWAS show that many common variants each of small, additive effect probably contribute to complex disease risk [2]. The increased resolution of genetic endpoints through the inclusion of copy-number variation (CNV) in GWAS studies [3] or the application of massively parallel sequencing [4] may further inform the genetic contribution to disease (genome). Based on current data, however, it appears that the environmental contribution, comprising in part the "exposome" representing all exposures from conception onwards [1], may constitute the majority of the risk of chronic disease. In support of a strong environmental effect on disease development is the finding that disease risk in migrant populations for atherosclerotic disease [5-6] and cancer [7] shifts towards that of the population of the adoptive country.

Low-level and prevalent environmental exposures may contribute substantially to disease [8–10]. The development of highresolution technologies to assess exposures in the environment and in individuals is urgently needed to further understand such links [1,10–13]. Adductomics, the "omic" level measurement of protein and DNA adducts, compounds formed by the covalent reactions between blood proteins (typically hemoglobin and albumin) or DNA and chemicals (or their metabolites) to which an individual has been exposed, by analytical techniques such as mass spectrometry (MS) is one such approach [14]. Other promising approaches include microfluidics, nanotechnologies and MS [15–18].

Toxicity data on the more than 100,000 chemicals marketed in the U.S. and Europe, is extremely limited [19–21]. Together, the risk characterization of the total burden of environmental exposures and mixtures thereof, using updated toxicogenomic approaches, should greatly inform the mechanisms underlying chemically induced complex disease. Here, we review the application of toxicogenomic studies to evaluate the responsome at molecular (DNA, RNA and protein) levels, in exposed human populations, to develop a better understanding of gene-environment interactions underlying disease.

2. Current approaches in the prediction of human toxicological outcomes

The conventional health risk assessment paradigm for chemical exposures comprises four major steps: exposure assessment, hazard identification, dose-response assessment, and risk characterization. The approach has traditionally relied largely on animal toxicity studies with the extrapolation to adverse human health responses derived from the application of "uncertainty factors" to account for uncertainties associated with species extrapolation (animal to human), dose-extrapolation (high doses in animal studies to low dose human exposures) and prediction of risk to susceptible populations [22]. Data from animal tests are often poor predictors of real-world human effects, the most famous recent example probably being the TGN 1412 clinical trial [23]. Many drug candidates are abandoned due to non-predicted human effects in clinical trials [24]. While improved animal models such as "humanized" mice have the potential to overcome some of the uncertainties associated with extrapolation to human and to address human susceptibility [25], it is increasingly being recognized that alternative approaches predictive of effects in humans are required. [26–28]. In 2007, the U.S. National Research Council (NRC) reviewed existing strategies and developed a longrange vision for toxicity testing and risk assessment employing updated toxicological methodologies such as toxicogenomics and in vitro and high-throughput systems to facilitate the screening of the large numbers of chemicals in commercial use [26-27]. A similar approach was taken in Europe [28]. A number of challenges remain including the requirement for massive advances in computational biology to extrapolate from in vitro multi-tissue effects to effects on organs and whole humans [29].

A more reductionist version of this approach was recently proposed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) [30] and is based on 2007 recommendations by the National Academies of Sciences (NAS; Toxicity testing in the 21st century) [26] and the hypothesis that the ability of chemicals to induce perturbations in the finite number of toxicity pathways (e.g. oxidative stress response) could be queried using methodologies such as in vitro assays and toxicogenomics [26,31]. The strategy focuses on the measurement of perturbations in baseline biological processes elicited by environmentally relevant exposure levels that may trigger toxicity pathways leading to adverse health outcomes [30]. Characterization of the relevant toxicity pathways and the identification of biomarkers of key event parameters that can be monitored in human studies of chemical exposure are required. The combination of these data with distributional data on population characteristics of exposure and dose (magnitude, frequency, and duration) would provide a scientifically based

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

approach for reducing the uncertainties associated with current risk assessments. The utilization of existing human data from epidemiological studies and clinical trials to retrospectively and prospectively demonstrate that the approach successfully and adequately predicts human toxicological responses, is proposed [30]. It is also envisioned that GWAS data will provide additional support for the pathway-based models.

3. Toxicogenomic analysis of exposed human populations

3.1. Overview of human toxicogenomic studies

The newer approaches described above focus mainly on identifying mechanisms of toxicity in animal studies or in vitro, and subsequently translating these findings into biomarkers that can be applied to human exposure studies. A complementary approach is to perform toxicogenomic studies of human populations with well-characterized exposures, in order to directly determine biomarkers of exposure and early effect, and assess dose-response, as outlined in Fig. 1. Transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics can each provide a "molecular signature" or "fingerprint" of exposure or early effect, which can be compared with the profiles associated with known hazards, e.g. carcinogens, to inform hazard identification. Examination of impacted gene functions and pathways may enhance our understanding of the mechanisms by which chemicals contribute to disease (risk characterization). The incorporation of sensitive, updated measures of exposure assessment, e.g. adductomics, would allow assessment of dose-response at environmentally relevant exposure levels. These omic signatures and ultimately, risk, induced by exposure, are determined by the unique genomic composition of each individual and biomarkers of susceptibility can be determined through genomic analyses. Thus, adductomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics can characterize the exposome, responsome and (early)outcome of each individual in the context of underlying susceptibility (genomics), facilitating the examination of gene–environment interactions. Correlation of toxicogenomic data with phenotypic endpoints such as traditional toxicological or clinical endpoints or pre-disease states (phenotypic anchors) could help to predict outcome thereby greatly improving the rigorous application of this approach to risk assessment. Few human toxicogenomic studies to date have incorporated phenotypic anchors or assessed dose–response to chemical exposures, particularly at low levels of environmental exposure.

Beyond the scope of this review is a discussion of metabolomics, the measurement of the full complement of endogenous metabolites in a cell, tissue or biofluid by techniques such as MS [32]. Metabolomics provides a direct "functional readout of the physiological state" of an organism [33] and metabolite profiles vary with genotype [33], diet, and gut microbial composition [34]. Specific profiles have associated with risk factors for cardiovasular disease [34] and with nicotine consumption [35] and comprise potential biomarkers of pathophysiology. The detection of xenobiotic metabolites can reflect internal dose. This review focuses on transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics.

3.2. Transcriptomics

3.2.1. Human transcriptomic studies

The transcriptome is measured by global gene expression profiling using microarray analysis, or, more recently, by nextgeneration sequencing technologies [36]. Microarray technology



Fig. 1. The application of the OMIC technologies to human health risk assessment is shown. Adductomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics can each provide a "molecular signature" or "fingerprint" of exposure or early effect (hazard identification) which may enhance our understanding of the mechanisms by which these chemicals cause toxicity and contribute to disease (risk characterization), at a range of environmentally relevant exposure levels (dose response). These omic signatures and ultimately, risk, induced by exposure, are determined by the unique genomic composition of each individual and biomarkers of susceptibility can be determined through genomic analyses. Thus, adductomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics can characterize the exposome, responseme and (early) outcome of each individual in the context of underlying susceptibility (genomics), facilitating the examination of gene–environment interactions. Correlation of toxicogenomic data with phenotypic endpoints, such as traditional toxicological or clinical endpoints or pre-disease states (phenotypic anchors), could help to predict outcome greatly improving the rigorous application of this approach to risk assessment.

and its potential application has matured in part through the efforts of the FDA-led MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) consortium, a widespread collaboration conceived to broadly address performance, quality, and data analysis issues related to the use of DNA microarrays [37] and the development of Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) standards [38]. As a result, good concordance has been reported among platforms, allowing the comparison of data among different studies, laboratories and technologies [37].

The human peripheral blood (PB) transcriptome is dynamic, responding to environmental factors including stress [39], exercise [40–41], diet [42] and lifestyle [43], though remaining stable over time in the individual [44]. In the case of lifestyle, the broadest environmental factor studied, different lifestyles were characterized by the expression of one third of the leukocyte transcriptome, including various classes of immune response genes that influence susceptibility to respiratory and inflammatory disease [43].

Environmental exposure to chemicals also modifies the human transcriptome although studies examining the impact of such exposures on global gene expression in human populations are currently limited and include populations exposed to benzene [45-46], dioxin [47], arsenic [48-50], metal fumes [51], and complex environmental exposures such as cigarette smoke (CS) [52-55] and diesel exhaust [56], summarized in Table 1. Each of these studies identified potential biomarkers of exposure and/or early effect. The genes altered by these exposures represent a diversity of mechanisms including systemic effects on inflammation, which may underlie the development of associated diseases. In the study of CS-associated alterations in gene expression, signatures that could distinguish smokers from nonsmokers were identified in two studies [52-53]. A third study identified signatures of current and past exposure to CS and distinguished mechanisms associated with chronic and acute exposure [54].

Table 1

Toxicogenomic	studies	of	exposed	human	populations.
0					

One of the challenges of human toxicogenomic studies is to address variability arising from differences across life stages that potentially influence or interact with toxicity pathways. In studies examining exposure to air pollution, several differentially expressed genes were identified in the blood cells of children from urban regions of the Czech Republic compared with rural regions [57] and the effects on children and adults at the transcriptional level differed [58]. However, the data were based on general measurements of air exposures from monitoring stations in these studies while precise individual exposures may be more appropriate to detect robust changes.

The CS- and air pollution studies correlated gene expression with traditional toxicological endpoints such as micronuclei frequencies and DNA adduct formation [57,59]. Below, we discuss human transcriptomic studies of benzene and arsenic, which serve as examples of toxicogenomic studies using clinical endpoints as phenotypic anchors that also assess response at environmentally relevant doses.

3.2.2. Benzene transcriptomics

Benzene is an established cause of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), and probably lymphocytic leukemias and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) in humans [60–63]. We found evidence of hematotoxicity in workers exposed to varying levels of benzene (n = 250) and non-exposed controls (n = 140) in Tianjin, China, in a study with accurate, individual exposure measurements [64]. A significant decrease in almost all blood cell counts, such as white blood cells (WBC), granulocytes, lymphocytes, platelets etc, was observed in exposed workers, even at exposures below 1 ppm (n = 109), the current occupational standard in the U.S. The demonstration of hematotoxicity in exposed workers represents a phenotypic anchor, providing

Chemical	No. Subjects	Tissue/cells	Omic Technology	No. Significant Targets	Reference		
Transcriptomics			Array				
Air Pollution	47 ^a	PB	Agilent Human 22k	1727	Van Leeuwen et al. 2006 [57]		
Air Pollution	24	PB	Agilent Human 22k	1698	Van Leeuwen et al. 2008 [58]		
Arsenic	12	Liver	Clontech Atlas	60	Lu et al. 2001 [49]		
Arsenic	24	PBL	cDNA array	62	Wu et al. 2003 [50]		
Arsenic	40	PBL	Affymetrix HGU133A	468	Argos et al. 2006 [48]		
Arsenic	32 ^b	CB	Affymetrix HGU133	9-170	Fry et al. 2007 [85]		
Arsenic	21	PBL	Affymetrix HGU133	259	Andrew et al. 2008 [75]		
Benzene	12	PBMC	Affymetrix HGU133 A/B	2129	Forrest et al. [45]		
Benzene	16	PBMC	Affymetrix Human U133	2692	McHale et al. 2009 [46]		
			Illumina HumanRef-8	1828			
Cigarette Smoke	85	PBL	Agilent Human 25k	36	Lampe et al. 2004 [52]		
Cigarette Smoke	18	PB	Phase1 Human Tox 600	34-76	Van Leeuwen et al. 2007 [53]		
Cigarette Smoke	107	Airway Epithelium		175	Beane et al. 2007 [54]		
Metal Fumes	28	PB	Affymetrix HGU133A	546	Wang et al. 2005 [51]		
TCDD	26	PBMC	Affymetrix HGU133 A/B	135	McHale et al. 2007 [47]		
Welding Fumes	40	PB/WBC	House spotted array	103	Rim et al. 2007 [175]		
Proteomics			Type of MS				
Arsenic	91	Urine	SELDI-TOF MS	2	Hegedus et al. 2008 [94]		
Arsenic	214	Plasma	SELDI-TOF MS	20	Harezlak et al. 2008 [95]		
Arsenic and Lead	91	Serum	SELDI-TOF MS	5	Zhai et al. 2005 [96]		
Benzene	88	Plasma	MALDI-TOF MS	18	Joo et al. 2004 [97]		
Benzene	40	Serum	SELDI-TOF MS	3	Vermeulen et al. 2005 [93]		
Epigenomics			Array				
miRNA							
Cigarette Smoke	20	Airway Epithelium	Invitrogen NCode Array	28	Schembri et al. 2009 [111]		
DNA Methylation Benzene	10	РВ	Illumina GoldenGate Cancer Panel I	>100	Zhang et al. 2009 [65]		

BC: buffy coat; CB: cord blood; PB: peripheral blood; PBL: peripheral blood leukocytes; PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cells; MALDI-TOF MS: Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry; SELDI-TOF MS: surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry; WBC: white blood cells.

^a Children.

^b Newborn children.

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

context for analysis of toxicogenomic effects, particularly useful given the long latencies of AML and NHL.

In a study of global gene expression and high-dose occupational benzene exposure in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), we identified CXCL16, ZNF331, JUN, and PF4, as potential biomarkers of early response to benzene exposure [45] in 6 exposed-control pairs. A later study, using 2 different microarray platforms (Affymetrix and Illumina), confirmed altered expression of these 4 genes, and revealed impacts on apoptosis and lipid metabolism in 8 individuals exposed to >10 ppm benzene compared with 8 unexposed controls [46]. More recently, we have shown, in an expanded study of 125 factory workers, that low-dose benzene exposure (<1 ppm, n = 59) is associated with widespread subtle, yet highly significant, perturbation of the expression of more than 2500 genes [65–66]. Further, the study revealed potential biomarkers and pathways impacted by benzene exposure across a range of exposure levels as well as biomarkers and pathways uniquely impacted at low levels of benzene exposure. Many of the altered genes were involved in apoptosis, and immune and inflammatory responses.

Response pathways implicated in mouse bone marrow and stem cells exposed to very high levels of benzene (100–300 ppm), including p53 response, DNA repair and cell cycle arrest [67–68], were not confirmed in human chronic exposure studies, although apoptosis was impacted in both human and animal studies. The differences in transcriptome response between human and animal studies could reflect different mechanisms of action of benzene although differences in exposure intensity and time, and tissues analyzed may also be contributing factors.

3.2.3. Arsenic transcriptomics

Chronic exposure to the carcinogen, arsenic [69], is associated with lung, bladder, nonmelanoma skin cancers, kidney and liver cancer [70-72]. Exposure to inorganic arsenic alters the expression of genes involved in arsenic metabolism, stress response, damage response and apoptosis, cell cycling, cell signaling and growth factor signaling, as recently reviewed by Ghosh and colleagues [73]. However, the degree of individual susceptibility to arsenicinduced effects varies among populations from different parts of the world exposed to comparable levels of arsenic in drinking water. Only a minor percentage of exposed individuals within a population develop arsenic-induced premalignant skin lesions, an early manifestation and hallmark of arsenic toxicity that may indicate increased future risk of arsenic-related cancer [74]. Although the molecular basis of arsenic-induced skin lesions and its progression to cancer is poorly understood, it serves as a potential phenotypic anchor of arsenic toxicity in exposed humans.

A microarray-based gene expression study was conducted among individuals chronically exposed to arsenic in Bangladesh to assess whether arsenical skin lesion status and arsenic exposure level were associated with differential gene expression patterns [48]. Mean (SD) well-water levels of arsenic in the Bangladesh study were 342.7 (258.1) μ g/L for the group with skin lesions (n = 11) and 39.6 (48.5) μ g/L for the group without skin lesions (n = 5) [48]. Genes involved in RNA metabolism, hydrolase activity, ribonucleoprotein complex, translation, cellular protein catabolism, amino acid activation, transport and transporter activity, signal transduction through the interleukin (IL)-1 receptor, and glycoprotein metabolism were found to be differentially expressed in peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) of exposed individuals with arsenical skin lesions compared with exposed individuals without such lesions. Dose-dependent analysis of exposure was not possible in the study because of the wide variation in exposure levels and limited sampling data.

A study of PBL global gene expression of populations exposed above and below the drinking water standard of 10 $\mu g/L$ was

conducted in New Hampshire, US, where 40% of the population consuming drinking water from unregulated private wells [75]. The drinking-water arsenic levels of the higher-exposed group (n = 11) averaged 32 µg/L (range: 10.4–74.7 µg/L), whereas the levels for the low-exposure group (n = 10) averaged 0.7 µg/L (range: 0.007–5.3 µg/L). The most significant pathways in the higher-exposed groups were involved in defense and immune response, including inhibitory killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptors with roles in both innate and adaptive immune response. Cell growth, apoptosis, cell cycle regulation, and T-cell receptor signaling pathway were also impacted. Differential expression of transcripts involved in diabetes was observed at high-exposure. Arsenic exposure has been associated with increased diabetes mellitus-related mortality in several populations, including the U.S. [76–77].

Differential expression of genes involved in the nervous system and other aspects of development, support associations between arsenic exposure and fetal and early childhood effects [78-80]. Early childhood arsenic exposure increases the subsequent mortality in young adults from both malignant and nonmalignant lung disease [81] and the childhood liver cancer mortality [82]. The latency for arsenic-induced bladder cancers may exceed 50 years [83]. It has been suggested that intrauterine or early childhood exposure to arsenic induces changes that become apparent much later in life, probably through epigenetic effects, endocrine effects, immune suppression, neurotoxicity and interference with fetal programming [84]. Examination of the gene expression profiles of a population of newborns, whose mothers were exposed to varying levels of arsenic exposure during pregnancy, revealed a systemic inflammatory response and increased NF-kB signaling [85]. Additionally, a network of 11 transcripts was identified which could predict arsenic exposure in newborns with 83% accuracy. However, as the unexposed newborns were from two different regions of Thailand, one urban and one rural, it is not possible to conclusively associate these changes with arsenic exposure.

3.3. Proteomics

3.3.1. Human proteomic studies

More proximal to phenotype than the transcriptome, the human proteome may better reflect molecular and cellular process. However, analysis of the total protein output encoded by the genome using proteomics techniques such as MS [86] and antibody arrays [87] is more challenging and less amenable to application in a high-throughput capacity, due to differences in protein properties, location and abundance [88]. In order to reduce the complexity of the proteome, protein fractionation and depletion of high-abundance proteins such as albumin, must be performed prior to analysis. Differences in sampling, collection, handling and storage can impact the observable proteome from serum and plasma, two readily available and commonly tested biofluids, underscoring the importance of standardized protocols across studies and laboratories [89-90]. The Minimum information about a proteomics experiment (MIAPE), a Human Proteome Organization's Proteomics Standards Initiative has been developed to encourage the standardized collection, integration, storage and dissemination of proteomics data, and develop guidance modules for reporting the use of techniques such as gel electrophoresis and MS [91-92].

Few proteomic studies of human-exposed populations have been conducted. A recent study examining the impact of cigarette smoke on the airway epithelial proteome of 5 current smokers compared with 5 never smokers, using 1D-PAGE coupled with LC-MS/MS, identified 23 proteins that differed between never and current smokers and confirmed the smoking-related changes of PLUNC, P4HB1, and uteroglobin protein levels by Western blotting

[55]. The study also demonstrated a strong correlation between protein and transcript detection within the same samples. Other such studies include those by our group and others of populations exposed to benzene [93], and arsenic [94–96].

3.3.2. Benzene proteomics

The plasma proteomes of fifty workers reportedly exposed to benzene in solvents at a printing company and 38 matched unexposed controls were analyzed by two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) [97] and significant differences in the resulting protein profiles were found using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization/time of flight (MALDI-TOF) MS and Western blot. Although upregulation of T cell receptor beta chain, FK506-binding protein and matrix metalloproteinase-13 was seen in the printing workers, limited exposure information on benzene levels in the solvents used precluded a true association with benzene exposure.

Three proteins were found to be consistently down-regulated in benzene-exposed compared with control subjects in two sequential studies of shoe factory workers with well-characterized benzene exposures using surface enhanced laser desorption/ ionisation (SELDI-TOF), a combined MS and array-based technology [93]. The proteins were highly inversely correlated with individual estimates of benzene exposure (r > 0.75). Two of the proteins were subsequently identified as platelet factor 4 (PF4) and connective tissue activating peptide (CTAP)-III, both members of the CXC-chemokine family. As well as representing potential biomarkers of benzene exposure, the biological roles of these proteins [98–100] support the current understanding of the toxic effects of benzene including immunosuppression and toxicity to hematopoietic progenitors.

3.3.3. Arsenic proteomics

We analyzed the urinary proteomes of human populations exposed to arsenic in Nevada and Chile in order to elucidate the mechanisms underlying As-associated kidney and bladder cancers, and identify biomarkers of exposure and early effect. Decreased expression of human β -defensin-1 (HBD-1) peptides, in the urine of men from Nevada with high arsenic exposure was found and the finding was replicated in a second, independent arsenic exposed population from Chile [94]. HBD-1 is a peptide with well-known antimicrobial effects [101], and lesser-known cytotoxic and chemotactic properties [102,103], which may function as a tumor suppressor gene for urological cancers.

The differential expression of 20 proteins in the plasma of arsenic exposed individuals from Bangladesh was reported [95]. Similarly, five discriminatory protein peaks were identified in the serum proteomic profiles of 46 male smelter workers with combined exposure to both mixed lead and arsenic compared with forty-five age-matched male office workers [96] using SELDI-TOF. However, in both of these studies the affected proteins have not been identified or validated.

3.4. Epigenomics

3.4.1. Human epigenomic studies

The epigenome is dynamic and is thought to be inuenced by environmental factors throughout life [104–107]. Epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation and histone modifications, may represent more stable fingerprints of exposure than altered gene or protein expression [108]. Further, interindividual differences in the epigenetic state could also affect susceptibility to xenobiotics and associated disease risk [109]. A role for miRNAs in mediating the response to environmental exposures has been demonstrated by a study showing that smoking induces gene expression changes in the human airway epithelium [110] with some genes modulated by miRNA [111]. DNA methylation levels [112] and miRNA profiles [113] are amenable to investigation in a high-throughput manner by array- and sequencing-based methods.

Aberrant gene promoter methylation is a common event in cancer [114–116] and other diseases [117]. A recent study of DNA methylation in lung cancer arising in tobacco smokers and alcohol drinkers revealed evidence of gene-specific and sex-specific differences in methylation patterns [116]. Cancer-related methylation changes have been reported in cancer-free individuals and potentially associated with lifestyle factors [118]. Expression profiling analyses have also revealed potential characteristic miRNA signatures in certain human cancers [119–122] and other diseases [123–124].

Studies of epigenetic alterations in populations at increased risk of disease through exposure to chemicals are necessary to determine whether such alterations are involved in the causal pathways of disease development.

3.4.2. Benzene epigenomics

A study of epigenetic changes induced by low-level exposure to benzene in healthy subjects including gas station attendants and traffic police officers, revealed significant hypermethylation in p15 with increasing airborne benzene levels [125]. While this is the first human study to show DNA methylation changes induced by low-level carcinogen exposure, the magnitude of altered methylation was small and the benzene exposures were very low (\sim 22 ppb) and potentially confounded by other exposures and lifestyle factors.

We conducted a pilot study analyzing the DNA methylation profiles of over 800 genes in the buffy coat DNA of 6 workers (2 male, 4 female) exposed to benzene and 4 unexposed controls (2 male, 2 female), using array technology [65]. Preliminary data showed gender-specific methylation patterns, as expected, and revealed altered methylation induced by benzene at many CpG sites. Decreased methylation of *RUNX3 (AML2)*, a gene whose altered expression has been associated with myeloproliferative disorders [126] and increased methylation of *MSH3*, a critical gene in the maintenance of genome integrity, and *Sema3C*, a secreted guidance protein implicated in tumorigenesis [127], was also found. We also reported that benzene exposure altered miRNA expression in exposed workers [65]. We are currently expanding these studies.

3.4.3. Arsenic epigenomics

Arsenic exposure has been shown to alter the DNA methylation status of multiple gene promoters in humans. Hypermethylation of the p53 gene promoter was observed in arsenic-exposed people compared to control subjects and of the p53 and p16 genes in arsenic-induced skin cancer patients compared to subjects having skin cancer unrelated to arsenic [128]. Arsenic exposure has also been shown to induce death-associated protein kinase (DAPK) promoter hypermethylation in a human uroepithelial cell line [129] and in human urothelial carcinoma [130] and RASSF1A and PRSS3 promoter hypermethylation in advanced human bladder cancer [131].

It has been proposed that the mode of action of arsenic is similar to folate deficiency [132]. In support of this, Kelsey and colleagues showed that treatment with arsenic and folate deprivation *in vitro* produced similarly altered miRNA expression profiles [133] and confirmed the altered expression of hsa-miR-222 in human subjects with low dietary folate levels. This study shows that miRNA expression profiles altered by environmental carcinogen exposures may be associated with the process of carcinogenesis.

4. Contribution of human toxicogenomic data to risk assessment

It should be clear from the studies described above that good experimental design incorporating precise, individual exposure

KEV-7973; No

measurements, phenotypic anchors (pre-disease state or traditional toxicological markers), and a range of relevant exposure doses can increase the power of human population toxicogenomic studies to generate biomarkers of exposure and/or early effect, elucidate modes of action underlying associated disease and detect effects at low doses. These findings can inform risk assessment. Recently, a committee of the NAS, the "Science and Decisions Committee", found "substantial deficiencies" in the current approaches to the treatment of uncertainty and variability in quantitative risk assessment of both cancer and noncancer outcomes and offered a new framework for risk-based decision making [134]. One of the recommendations was the harmonization of cancer and noncancer risk assessment. Human toxicogenomic data, being unbiased, can potentially generate biomarkers and inform mechanisms underlying a wide range of human disease. The framework differentiates individual from population risk with probabilistic characterization of the latter informed by formal systematic assessment of human heterogeneity with respect to susceptibility (genetics, age, lifestage), co- and background exposures, as well as mechanisms of action. Toxicogenomic endpoints reflect gene-environment interaction and in a sufficiently large diverse population could potentially evalute human heterogeneity. For example, data could be evaluated in subgroups of susceptible individuals containing candidate or known susceptibility genes. Further, as discussed earlier, adductomics can provide a measure of internal dose reflecting geneenvironment interaction.

4.1. Hazard identification

Current methods used in hazard identification of, e.g. carcinogens, include the 2-year rodent carcinogenicity bioassay, which assesses the risk of cancer development in animals [135], and the short-term in vitro genotoxicity testing battery which assesses a chemical's ability to cause genetic damage in cells predictive of cancer [136]. Both of these approaches have limited predictive potential for carcinogenesis in humans and fail to address nongenotoxic effects. In order to achieve better cancer predictive potential, toxicogenomic studies of exposed cell lines and animals have been explored as an alternative approach to hazard identification of different classes of carcinogens, through determination of generic molecular pathway responses, as reviewed [137]. Gene sets have been identified that could discriminate classes of chemicals, e.g. carcinogens and non-carcinogens [138-141], and genotoxic vs non-genotoxic carcinogens [142], in vitro and in animal models.

The paucity of toxicogenomic data from exposed human populations currently precludes the identification of similar human gene sets. However, initiatives such as the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD) [143] and Chemical Effects in Biological Systems (CEBS) [144] have been developed to store current and future human toxicogenomic datasets and facilitate studies of the inter-relationship among, genes, environment and disease. Such databases also provide a framework for the classification of new chemicals based on comparison of transcriptomic, proteomic and epigenomic profiles. Aside from the toxicogenomic databases, most recently published transcriptomic data is also publicly available through the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Database, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo.

4.2. Exposure and dose-response assessment

Among the limitations of current risk assessment approaches are difficulties in extrapolating from acute, high-dose exposures in animals to environmentally relevant chronic exposures in humans and assessing dose-response in the appropriate dose-range. The

NAS Science and Decision committee recommended three conceptual models for estimating low-dose risk estimates [134]. However, concerns regarding the use of human variability modeling to set exposure standards for human exposures to toxic chemicals (model 2) has been raised [145]. Human toxicogenomic studies can be designed to measure effects at low-dose exposures but have mainly addressed exposures at the upper end of typical ranges of human exposure and have often lacked precise. individual estimates of exposure. Biomarkers of internal dose. such as specific protein adducts [14,146-147] which can account for inter-individual differences in metabolism, have rarely been applied. Dose response, an important criterion of risk assessment, has not been examined in the majority of human population studies. The prevailing notion that genotoxic agents have a doseresponse curve that is linear in the low-dose region without a threshold, while the dose-response curves for non-genotoxic agents have a threshold, has been disputed [148]. Further, it has been argued that the study of endpoints in humans exposed at low levels may be able to provide empirical data necessary to clarify the shape of the population dose-response curve. With good study design and precise measurements of exposure, toxicogenomic studies have the potential to detect effects across a range of environmentally relevant low-dose exposures in humans [66,75].

We recently showed that two different metabolic pathways, with different affinities, exist for high and low-dose benzene in a study of benzene exposures and metabolite levels among 263 nonsmoking women [149]. Statistical evidence from the study strongly suggests that a currently uncharacterized high-affinity pathway is largely responsible for the metabolism of benzene at sub-part per million air concentrations. The finding implies that the risk of leukemia associated with benzene could be substantially greater than is currently thought in the general population. A differential effect of low-dose exposure to benzene is further supported by our finding of unique gene and pathway effects, through transcriptomic analysis [65–66].

4.3. Risk characterization

Toxicogenomic studies in animals have informed the modes of action underlying toxic effects by chemical class, e.g. DNA damage and cell cycle progression characterized four genotoxic hepatocarcinogens, while oxidative stress or a regeneration response characterized nongenotoxic carcinogens in a study examining male rats exposed for up to 14 days at doses previously shown to induce hepatic tumors in long-term cancer bioassays [142]. As discussed earlier, toxic effects in animals often do not predict those in humans. Therefore, the direct identification of key mechanisms underlying human toxicity may be more informative and can certainly complement the animal and in vitro approaches. As discussed above, many of the human toxicogenomic transcriptome studies identified impacts on altered immune and inflammatory processes as well as apoptosis and cell cycle. The CTD [143] and CEBS [144] databases provide a framework on which to investigate mechanisms of action underlying toxicity, as more studies are performed on different types of chemical exposure. Toxicogenomic profiling of exposed individuals with pre-disease states predictive of future disease, such as arsenical skin lesions [48], can increase the ability to identify disease-causal mechanisms.

5. Systems biology approach to human toxicogenomics

While individual toxicogenomic datasets can provide valuable information, systems biology approaches may be necessary to clarify the molecular and cellular networks impacted by exposure, and thus identify all potential mechanisms of action. Assessment of a single epigenetic modification such as DNA methylation may not

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

have a predicted phenotypic effect or inform the causal pathway of disease, as multiple mechanisms are required to coordinately regulate transcriptional status. Altered transcription, in turn, may not be reflected in protein levels. According to systems theory, whereas individual genes or environmental factors may be key elements in a complex disease process, the phenotype is ultimately determined by the modulation of underlying pathways. Systemsbased approaches provide a holistic view of interactions at the molecular, pathway and organism level, with connectivity described by networks. Systems approaches have been proposed for deriving networks informing risk assessment [150], disease development [151] and gene–environment interaction [152]. Ultimately, these networks could define the continuum from baseline biological perturbation induced by environmental exposures through pre-clinical and clinical disease, at multiple levels.

The application of a systems approach to risk assessment has been proposed in which molecular networks are constructed from omics data at different levels of the system [150]. Through biological interpretation and in vitro and in vivo data, key event networks with nodes representing toxicity pathways, are abstracted from the molecular network. In this scenario, mechanisms of action for an environmental factor would represent perturbations of the "normal" state and allow predictions of adverse outcomes to be made. Outcomes are driven at the individual level by the genetic, epigenetic and exposure profile and at the population level by common genetics, lifestyle and environment. This approach could be informative for disease-associated exposures for which underlying disease mechanisms are not understood despite knowledge of multiple modes of action such as benzene and arsenic [148]. The systems approach would facilitate examination of the interactions among multiple modes of action and their variability with life stage, genetic background and dose.

Network-based approaches have also been applied to understand disease processes. Despite the large number of mutations, epigenetic alterations and gene expression perturbations catalogued for human disease [153–156], a relatively small number of pathways may ultimately underlie disease. Pedersen-Bjergaard characterized 8 different genetic pathways for the development of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and showed that de novo and therapy-related AML can be considered biologically as the same disease [157]. Similarly, a core set of 12 signaling pathways and processes have been identified in pancreatic cancer [154]. A novel framework for the identification of disease-specific protein biomarkers through the integration of biofluid proteomes and inter-disease relationships using a network paradigm, was recently described [151]. From a blood plasma biomarker network of 136 diseases and 1,028 detectable blood plasma proteins and a urinary biomarker network of 127 diseases and 577 urine proteins, it was shown that the majority (>80%) of putative protein biomarkers are linked to multiple disease conditions with few associated with a single disease.

A network-based gene–environment-disease approach recently identified key regulatory pathways that integrate genetic and environmental modulators of disease [152]. In that study, a network of complex diseases and environmental factors was derived through the identification of key molecular pathways associated with both genetic and environmental effects based on information in the Genetic Association database [158] and the CTD [143]. The analysis identified natural and synthetic retinoids, antipsychotic medications, omega 3 fatty acids, and pyrethroid pesticides as potential environmental modulators of metabolic syndrome phenotypes through PPAR and adipocytokine signaling, and organophosphate pesticides as potential environmental modulators of neuropsychiatric phenotypes. Intersection of the top pathways most often enriched in genetic association studies and environmental factor research suggest retinol metabolism, Jak-STAT signaling, Toll-like receptor signaling, and adipocytokine signaling are critical pathways important to complex disease progression.

A new research discipline, systems epidemiology, has been proposed that would use novel "globolomic" design of prospective cancer epidemiology studies, and data obtained through omic technologies and systems approaches, to assess cancer risk in an integrated manner [159]. This approach would consider the complexity of the multistage carcinogenic process, the latency time, and the changing lifestyle of the cohort members, integrating data, spanning multiple levels of the biological scale, and environment information. Challenges remain in the design of human toxicogenomic and, ultimately, systems epidemiology studies.

6. Challenges in human toxicogenomic study design

A large number of toxicogenomic endpoints are generated from individual studies, e.g. gene expression data for ~21,000 genes, DNA methylation data for multiple CpG sites per gene, ~1 million SNPs. Given the degree of human heterogeneity and the large numbers of potential biomarkers examined, the so-called curse of dimensionality means that toxicogenomic studies need to be designed with sufficient power (relatively large sample sizes) to detect effects of the exposure under examination and to allow for analysis of the interrelationship among different toxicogenomic endpoints in the systems biology approach. Although, as the dimension becomes larger, the challenge becomes more profound, such that studies that do not properly account for the analytical challenge run the risk of a high probability of false positive findings [160].

Epidemiologic studies generally adjust for confounding from age, smoking, and gender. Due to likely synergistic effects of complex mixtures, overlap in toxic mechanisms, and interaction with non-chemical stressors, studies should also adjust for past exposure to the substance under examination and current coexposures that could be potentially confounding. Other confounding may be more difficult to control for. Diet modulates the human blood transcriptome [42] and even under similar dietary conditions, variability in the gut microbiome influences host metabolism, physiology and gene expression [161]. Stress [39], exercise [40-41], and lifestyle [43] also modulate the human transcriptome. It has been postulated that distal environmental conditions, such as in utero or early childhood exposures, can influence an individual's response to a later exposure [109]. Cumulative damage such as genetic or epigenetic mutations could increase risk of disease even at low exposures, particularly those diseases occurring later in life. This is supported by the finding of a greater effect of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) among smokers compared to never-smokers in a large prospective study of respiratory cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [162].

A major goal of human toxicogenomic studies is the examination of effects at low doses. Precise, individual exposure assessment and measures of internal dose covering a range of doses including low/environmental levels is necessary. Typically, doseresponse has not been incorporated into the design of such studies. Our recent study of transcriptomic profiles associated with benzene exposure, which incorporated precise, individual exposure measurements and examined a range of doses, revealed potential biomarkers and pathways uniquely impacted at lowdose benzene exposure [65–66].

Given the high-dimensional nature of toxicogenomic data, standardization of data analysis is desirable. One of the main current approaches is to examine the association of variables (e.g., gene expression) and past exposures one at a time, minimizing the

REV-7973

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

number of false positives by controlling experimental error rates, from the conservative family-wise error rate (FWER) to the more lenient false discovery rate (FDR). Many techniques have been proposed (see for instance [163] for permutation-based methods as well as the commonly used Benjamini and Hochberg method for controlling FDR [164]). We have focused on re-sampling based multiple testing methods that can gain efficiency by using knowledge on the marginal distribution of the test statistics [165–166]. We also believe there is great promise in using semiparametric models developed for causal inference as tools for biomarker discovery [167]. In addition to looking on a gene by gene basis, one can gain power and possibly aid interpretation by looking for common patterns among sets of genes, either by use of clustering algorithms for instance, [168] and so-called gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) [169] and [170] as well as looking for gene ontologies with over-represented, differentially expressed genes [171-172].

The goals of deriving information pertinent to hazard identification (chemical classification) and risk characterization (mechanism of action) from human toxicogenomic data, are predicated on an expansion of current toxicogenomic databases. This is challenging given that human population studies are expensive to undertake with the power required for systems biology approaches. Characterization of the "normal" human blood transcriptome, methylome, and proteome is also necessary and will be defined as the number of studies increases. The NIH Roadmap Initiative, established in 2007, aims to develop comprehensive reference epigenome maps [173]. As more toxicogenomics studies are performed, with robust exposure assessment, the range of "normal" profiles will be coordinately be delineated.

Human toxicogenomic studies typically analyze effects in readily available biofluids such as blood and urine. It is uncertain whether such tissues are good surrogates of all potential target tissues such as bladder, kidney, and lung. Toxicogenomic profiles from disease-relevant tissues such as exfoliated bladder cells in the investigation of bladder cancer [174] or bronchial airway epithelial cells in lung cancer, as was recently done using miRNA profiling for cigarette smoke exposure [111], may be necessary. Further, analyzing changes in blood generates an average of the responses of all cell populations therein and may mask effects on cellular subtypes. Optimal sample processing for all toxicogenomic endpoints is challenging.

A final challenge is the interpretation of the findings of human toxicogenomic studies. In order to make causal inferences, true effects need to be distinguished from adaptive responses in the context of appropriate phenotypic anchors. It is unclear whether the demonstration of key events (critical perturbations) predictive of health endpoints (e.g. cancer) is necessary or whether perturbations of baseline biological processes sufficient to induce substantial cellular level response (e.g. stress response) provide adequate endpoint risk assessment [30].

7. Conclusions

With appropriate study design and sufficient power, data from toxicogenomic studies of exposed human populations can inform risk assessment, by generating biomarkers of exposure and/or early effect, elucidating mechanisms of action underlying exposurerelated disease, and, detecting effects at low doses. The incorporation of precise, individual exposure measurements, phenotypic anchors (pre-disease or traditional toxicological markers), and a range of relevant exposure doses are necessary. As more studies are performed and incorporated into databases such as CTD and CEBS, data can be mined for classification of newly tested chemicals (hazard identification), and, for investigating the inter-relationship among, genes, environment and disease in a systems biology approach (risk characterization). Efforts are underway to address the challenges to this approach including improvements in exposure assessment, accounting for past and current exposures and other confounding factors, particularly at low-doses, consideration of the effect of lifestage and the contribution of cumulative exposures, development of powerful bioinformatic approaches required for systems biology analyses and standardization of toxicogenomic statistical analyses. The size of the studies required for sufficient power, and the associated cost, needs to be addressed through prioritization and availability of funding.

Conflict of interest

Dr. Smith has received consulting and expert testimony fees from lawyers representing both plaintiffs and defendants in cases involving claims related to exposure to benzene.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Kate Guyton and Babasaheb Sonawane, at U.S. EPA, for their critical comments and suggestions. This work was supported by NIH grants RO1ES06721 and P42ES04705.

References

- C.P. Wild, Complementing the genome with an "exposome": the outstanding challenge of environmental exposure measurement in molecular epidemiology, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 14 (2005) 1847–1850.
- [2] N.R. Wray, M.E. Goddard, P.M. Visscher, Prediction of individual genetic risk of complex disease, Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 18 (2008) 257–263.
- [3] S.A. McCarroll, Extending genome-wide association studies to copy-number variation, Hum. Mol. Genet. 17 (2008) R135–R142.
- [4] T. Tucker, M. Marra, J.M. Friedman, Massively parallel sequencing: the next big thing in genetic medicine, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 85 (2009) 142–154.
- [5] T.L. Robertson, H. Kato, T. Gordon, A. Kagan, G.G. Rhoads, C.E. Land, R.M. Worth, J.L. Belsky, D.S. Dock, M. Miyanishi, S. Kawamoto, Epidemiologic studies of coronary heart disease and stroke in Japanese men living in Japan, Hawaii and California. Coronary heart disease risk factors in Japan and Hawaii, Am. J. Cardiol. 39 (1977) 244–249.
- [6] K.S. Woo, P. Chook, O.T. Raitakari, B. McQuillan, J.Z. Feng, D.S. Celermajer, Westernization of Chinese adults and increased subclinical atherosclerosis, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 19 (1999) 2487–2493.
- [7] A.J. Swerdlow, K.R. Cooke, D.C. Skegg, J. Wilkinson, Cancer incidence in England and Wales and New Zealand and in migrants between the two countries, Br. J. Cancer 72 (1995) 236–243.
- [8] G. Gibson, The environmental contribution to gene expression profiles, Nat. Rev. Genet. 9 (2008) 575–581.
- [9] K. Hemminki, J. Lorenzo Bermejo, A. Forsti, The balance between heritable and environmental aetiology of human disease, Nat. Rev. Genet. 7 (2006) 958–9610 [10] MT. Smith. S.M. Bapapager, Building Europure Biology Context to Put the Fisto Fisto Statement and Sta
- [10] M.T. Smith, S.M. Rappaport, Building Exposure Biology Centers to Put the E into "G x E" Interaction Studies, Environ. Health Perspect. 117 (2009) A334–A335.
- [11] E.A. Hubal, Biologically relevant exposure science for 21st century toxicity testing, Toxicol. Sci. 111 (2009) 226–232.
- [12] L.S. Sheldon, E.A. Cohen Hubal, Exposure as part of a systems approach for assessing risk, Environ. Health Perspect. 117 (2009) 119–1194.
- [13] C.P. Wild, Environmental exposure measurement in cancer epidemiology, Mutagenesis 24 (2009) 117–125.
- [14] F.M. Rubino, M. Pitton, D. Di Fabio, A. Colombi, Toward an "omic" physiopathology of reactive chemicals: Thirty years of mass spectrometric study of the protein adducts with endogenous and xenobiotic compounds, Mass Spectrom. Rev. 28 (2009) 725–784.
- [15] S. Andreescu, J. Njagi, C. Ispas, M.T. Ravalli, J.E.M. Spotlight:, Applications of advanced nanomaterials for environmental monitoring, J. Environ. Monit. 11 (2009) 27–40.
- [16] M. Mulvihill, A. Tao, K. Benjauthrit, J. Arnold, P. Yang, Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for trace arsenic detection in contaminated water, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 47 (2008) 6456–6460.
- [17] A.M. Stockton, T.N. Chiesl, J.R. Scherer, R.A. Mathies, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon analysis with the Mars organic analyzer microchip capillary electrophoresis system, Anal. Chem. 81 (2009) 790–796.
- [18] R.C. Barry, Y. Lin, J. Wang, G. Liu, C.A. Timchalk, Nanotechnology-based electrochemical sensors for biomonitoring chemical exposures, J. Exp. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 19 (2009) 1–18.
- [19] GAO, EPA's Chemical Testing Program Has Made Little Progress, 1990, GA 1.13:RCED-90-112.
- [20] NRC, National Research Council Toxicity Testing: Strategies to Determine Needs and Priorities, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1984, pp. 382.
- [21] GAO, Chemical Regulation: Options Exist to Improve EPA's Ability to Assess Health Risks and Manage Its Chemical Review Program, 2005, GAO-05-458.

10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- [22] NRC, Scientific Frontiers in Developmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2000, pp. 12.
- [23] S. Bhattacharya, A. Coghlan, One drug, six men, disaster, New Sci. 189 (2006) 10-11.
- [24] FDA, Innovation or Stagnation: Challenge and Opportunity on the Critical Path to New Medical Products, 2004. http://www.fda.gov/oc/initiatives/criticalpath/ whitepaper.html.
- [25] M. Katoh, C. Tateno, K. Yoshizato, T. Yokoi, Chimeric mice with humanized liver, Toxicology 246 (2008) 9–17.
- [26] NRC, Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century" A vision and a Strategy, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2007.
- [27] NRC, Application of Toxicogenomic Technologies to Predictive Toxicology and Risk assessment, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2007.
- [28] EC, Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, in: The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, Off. J. Eur. Union, 2006.
- [29] T. Hartung, A toxicology for the 21st century-mapping the road ahead, Toxicol. Sci. 109 (2009) 18-23.
- [30] U.S. EPA, The U.S.Environmental Protection Agency's Strategic Plan for Evaluating the Toxicity of Chemicals, 2009. http://www.epa.gov/spc/toxicitytesting/.
- [31] NRC, Toxicity Testing for Assessment of Environmental Agents, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2006.
- [32] C.J. Clarke, J.N. Haselden, Metabolic profiling as a tool for understanding mechanisms of toxicity, Toxicol. Pathol. 36 (2008) 140–147.
- [33] C. Gieger, L. Geistlinger, E. Altmaier, M. Hrabe de Angelis, F. Kronenberg, T. Meitinger, H.W. Mewes, H.E. Wichmann, K.M. Weinberger, J. Adamski, T. Illig, K. Suhre, Genetics meets metabolomics: a genome-wide association study of metabolite profiles in human serum, PLoS Genet. 4 (2008) e1000282.
- [34] E. Holmes, R.L. Loo, J. Stamler, M. Bictash, I.K. Yap, Q. Chan, T. Ebbels, M. De Iorio, I.J. Brown, K.A. Veselkov, M.L. Daviglus, H. Kesteloot, H. Ueshima, L. Zhao, J.K. Nicholson, P. Elliott, Human metabolic phenotype diversity and its association with diet and blood pressure, Nature 453 (2008) 396–400.
- [35] R. Wang-Sattler, Y. Yu, K. Mittelstrass, E. Lattka, E. Altmaier, C. Gieger, K.H. Ladwig, N. Dahmen, K.M. Weinberger, P. Hao, L. Liu, Y. Li, H.E. Wichmann, J. Adamski, K. Suhre, T. Illig, Metabolic profiling reveals distinct variations linked to nicotine consumption in humans—first results from the KORA study, PLoS ONE 3 (2008) e3863.
- [36] B.J. Blencowe, S. Ahmad, L.J. Lee, Current-generation high-throughput sequencing: deepening insights into mammalian transcriptomes, Genes Dev. 23 (2009) 1379–1386.
- L. Shi, L.H. Reid, W.D. Jones, R. Shippy, J.A. Warrington, S.C. Baker, P.J. Collins, F. de Longueville, E.S. Kawasaki, K.Y. Lee, Y. Luo, Y.A. Sun, J.C. Willey, R.A. Setterquist, [37] G.M. Fischer, W. Tong, Y.P. Dragan, D.J. Dix, F.W. Frueh, F.M. Goodsaid, D. Herman, R.V. Jensen, C.D. Johnson, E.K. Lobenhofer, R.K. Puri, U. Schrf, J. Thierry-Mieg, C. Wang, M. Wilson, P.K. Wolber, L. Zhang, S. Amur, W. Bao, C.C. Barbacioru, A.B. Lucas, V. Bertholet, C. Boysen, B. Bromley, D. Brown, A. Brunner, R. Canales, X.M. Cao, T.A. Cebula, J.J. Chen, J. Cheng, T.M. Chu, E. Chudin, J. Corson, J.C. Corton, L.J. Croner, C. Davies, T.S. Davison, G. Delenstarr, X. Deng, D. Dorris, A.C. Eklund, X.H. Fan, H. Fang, S. Fulmer-Smentek, J.C. Fuscoe, K. Gallagher, W. Ge, L. Guo, X. Guo, J. Hager, P.K. Haje, J. Han, T. Han, H.C. Harbottle, S.C. Harris, E. Hatchwell, C.A. Hauser, S. Hester, H. Hong, P. Hurban, S.A. Jackson, H. Ji, C.R. Knight, W.P. Kuo, J.E. LeClerc, S. Levy, Q.Z. Li, C. Liu, Y. Liu, M.J. Lombardi, Y. Ma, S.R. Magnuson, B. Maqsodi, T. McDaniel, N. Mei, O. Myklebost, B. Ning, N. Novoradovskaya, M.S. Orr, T.W. Osborn, A. Papallo, T.A. Patterson, R.G. Perkins, E.H. Peters, R. Peterson, K.L. Philips, P.S. Pine, L. Pusztai, F. Qian, H. Ren, M. Rosen, B.A. Rosenzweig, R.R. Samaha, M. Schena, G.P. Schroth, S. Shchegrova, D.D. Smith, F. Staedtler, Z. Su, H. Sun, Z. Szallasi, Z. Tezak, D. Thierry-Mieg, K.L. Thompson, I. Tikhonova, Y. Turpaz, B. Vallanat, C. Van, S.J. Walker, S.J. Wang, Y. Wang, R. Wolfinger, A. Wong, J. Wu, C. Xiao, Q. Xie, J. Xu, W. Yang, L. Zhang, S. Zhong, Y. Zong, W. Slikker Jr., The MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project shows inter- and intraplatform reproducibility of gene expression measurements, Nat. Biotechnol. 24 (2006) 1151-1161.
- [38] A. Brazma, P. Hingamp, J. Quackenbush, G. Sherlock, P. Spellman, C. Stoeckert, J. Aach, W. Ansorge, C.A. Ball, H.C. Causton, T. Gaasterland, P. Glenisson, F.C. Holstege, I.F. Kim, V. Markowitz, J.C. Matese, H. Parkinson, A. Robinson, U. Sarkans, S. Schulze-Kremer, J. Stewart, R. Taylor, J. Vilo, M. Vingron, Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward standards for microarray data, Nat. Genet. 29 (2001) 365–371.
- [39] T. Kawai, K. Morita, K. Masuda, K. Nishida, M. Shikishima, M. Ohta, T. Saito, K. Rokutan, Gene expression signature in peripheral blood cells from medical students exposed to chronic psychological stress, Biol Psychol 76 (2007) 147–155.
- [40] P.H. Connolly, V.J. Caiozzo, F. Zaldivar, D. Nemet, J. Larson, S.P. Hung, J.D. Heck, G.W. Hatfield, D.M. Cooper, Effects of exercise on gene expression in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, J. Appl. Physiol. 97 (2004) 1461–1469.
- [41] D. Zieker, E. Fehrenbach, J. Dietzsch, J. Fliegner, M. Waidmann, K. Nieselt, P. Gebicke-Haerter, R. Spanagel, P. Simon, A.M. Niess, H. Northoff, cDNA microarray analysis reveals novel candidate genes expressed in human peripheral blood following exhaustive exercise, Physiol. Genom. 23 (2005) 287–294.
- [42] V. Pagmantidis, C. Meplan, E.M. van Schothorst, J. Keijer, J.E. Hesketh, Supplementation of healthy volunteers with nutritionally relevant amounts of selenium increases the expression of lymphocyte protein biosynthesis genes, Am J Clin Nutr 87 (2008) 181–189.
- [43] Y. Idaghdour, J.D. Storey, S.J. Jadallah, G. Gibson, A genome-wide gene expression signature of environmental geography in leukocytes of Moroccan Amazighs, PLoS Genet. 4 (2008) e1000052.

- [44] J.J. Eady, G.M. Wortley, Y.M. Wormstone, J.C. Hughes, S.B. Astley, R.J. Foxall, J.F. Doleman, R.M. Elliott, Variation in gene expression profiles of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from healthy volunteers, Physiol. Genom. 22 (2005) 402–411.
- [45] M.S. Forrest, Q. Lan, A.E. Hubbard, L. Zhang, R. Vermeulen, X. Zhao, G. Li, Y.Y. Wu, M. Shen, S. Yin, S.J. Chanock, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, Discovery of novel biomarkers by microarray analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression in benzene-exposed workers, Environ. Health Perspect. 113 (2005) 801–807.
- [46] C.M. McHale, L. Zhang, Q. Lan, G. Li, A.E. Hubbard, M.S. Forrest, R. Vermeulen, J. Chen, M. Shen, S.M. Rappaport, S. Yin, M.T. Smith, N. Rothman, Changes in the peripheral blood transcriptome associated with occupational benzene exposure identified by cross-comparison on two microarray platforms, Genomics 93 (2009) 343–349.
- [47] C.M. McHale, L. Zhang, A.E. Hubbard, X. Zhao, A. Baccarelli, A.C. Pesatori, M.T. Smith, M.T. Landi, Microarray analysis of gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from dioxin-exposed human subjects, Toxicology 229 (2007) 101–113.
- [48] M. Argos, M.G. Kibriya, F. Parvez, F. Jasmine, M. Rakibuz-Zaman, H. Ahsan, Gene expression profiles in peripheral lymphocytes by arsenic exposure and skin lesion status in a Bangladeshi population, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 15 (2006) 1367–1375.
- [49] T. Lu, J. Liu, E.L. LeCluyse, Y.S. Zhou, M.L. Cheng, M.P. Waalkes, Application of cDNA microarray to the study of arsenic-induced liver diseases in the population of Guizhou, China, Toxicol. Sci. 59 (2001) 185–192.
- [50] M.M. Wu, H.Y. Chiou, I.C. Ho, C.J. Chen, T.C. Lee, Gene expression of inflammatory molecules in circulating lymphocytes from arsenic-exposed human subjects, Environ. Health Perspect. 111 (2003) 1429–1438.
- [51] Z. Wang, D. Neuburg, C. Li, L. Su, J.Y. Kim, J.C. Chen, D.C. Christiani, Global gene expression profiling in whole-blood samples from individuals exposed to metal fumes, Environ. Health Perspect. 113 (2005) 233–241.
- [52] J.W. Lampe, S.B. Stepaniants, M. Mao, J.P. Radich, H. Dai, P.S. Linsley, S.H. Friend, J.D. Potter, Signatures of environmental exposures using peripheral leukocyte gene expression: tobacco smoke, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 13 (2004) 445–453.
- [53] D.M. van Leeuwen, E. van Agen, R.W. Gottschalk, R. Vlietinck, M. Gielen, M.H. van Herwijnen, L.M. Maas, J.C. Kleinjans, J.H. van Delft, Cigarette smoke-induced differential gene expression in blood cells from monozygotic twin pairs, Carcinogenesis 28 (2007) 691–697.
- [54] J. Beane, P. Sebastiani, G. Liu, J.S. Brody, M.E. Lenburg, A. Spira, Reversible and permanent effects of tobacco smoke exposure on airway epithelial gene expression, Genome Biol. 8 (2007) R201.
- [55] K. Steiling, A.Y. Kadar, A. Bergerat, J. Flanigon, S. Sridhar, V. Shah, Q.R. Ahmad, J.S. Brody, M.E. Lenburg, M. Steffen, A. Spira, Comparison of proteomic and transcriptomic profiles in the bronchial airway epithelium of current and never smokers, PLoS One 4 (2009) e5043.
- [56] A. Peretz, E.C. Peck, T.K. Bammler, R.P. Beyer, J.H. Sullivan, C.A. Trenga, S. Srinouanprachnah, F.M. Farin, J.D. Kaufman, Diesel exhaust inhalation and assessment of peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene transcription effects: an exploratory study of healthy human volunteers, Inhal. Toxicol. 19 (2007) 1107–1119.
- [57] D.M. van Leeuwen, M.H. van Herwijnen, M. Pedersen, L.E. Knudsen, M. Kirsch-Volders, R.J. Sram, Y.C. Staal, E. Bajak, J.H. van Delft, J.C. Kleinjans, Genome-wide differential gene expression in children exposed to air pollution in the Czech Republic, Mutat. Res. 600 (2006) 12–22.
- [58] D.M. van Leeuwen, M. Pedersen, P.J. Hendriksen, A. Boorsma, M.H. van Herwijnen, R.W. Gottschalk, M. Kirsch-Volders, L.E. Knudsen, R.J. Sram, E. Bajak, J.H. van Delft, J.C. Kleinjans, Genomic analysis suggests higher susceptibility of children to air pollution, Carcinogenesis 29 (2008) 977–983.
- [59] D.M. van Leeuwen, R.W. Gottschalk, M.H. van Herwijnen, E.J. Moonen, J.C. Kleinjans, J.H. van Delft, Differential gene expression in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells induced by cigarette smoke and its constituents, Toxicol. Sci. 86 (2005) 200–210.
- [60] R.B. Hayes, Y. Songnian, M. Dosemeci, M. Linet, Benzene and lymphohematopoietic malignancies in humans, Am. J. Ind. Med. 40 (2001) 117–126.
- [61] D.C. Glass, C.N. Gray, D.J. Jolley, C. Gibbons, M.R. Sim, L. Fritschi, G.G. Adams, J.A. Bisby, R. Manuell, Leukemia risk associated with low-level benzene exposure, Epidemiology 14 (2003) 569–577.
- [62] D.A. Savitz, K.W. Andrews, Review of epidemiologic evidence on benzene and lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers, Am. J. Ind. Med. 31 (1997) 287–295.
- [63] M.T. Smith, R.M. Jones, A.H. Smith, Benzene exposure and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 16 (2007) 385–391.
- [64] Q. Lan, L. Zhang, G. Li, R. Vermeulen, R.S. Weinberg, M. Dosemeci, S.M. Rappaport, M. Shen, B.P. Alter, Y. Wu, W. Kopp, S. Waidyanatha, C. Rabkin, W. Guo, S. Chanock, R.B. Hayes, M. Linet, S. Kim, S. Yin, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, Hematotoxicity in workers exposed to low levels of benzene, Science 306 (2004) 1774–1776.
- [65] L. Zhang, C.M. McHale, N. Rothman, G. Li, Z. Ji, R. Vermeulen, A.E. Hubbard, X. Ren, M. Shen, S.M. Rappaport, M. North, C.F. Skibola, S. Yin, C. Vulpe, S.J. Chanock, M.T. Smith, Q. Lan, Systems biology of human benzene exposure, Chemico-Biol. Interact. 184 (2010) 86–93.
- [66] C. McHale, L. Zhang, Q. Lan, G. Li, A. Hubbard, K. Porter, R. Vermeulen, M. Shen, S. Rappaport, S. Yin, M.T. Smith, N. Rothman, Low-dose, occupational exposure to the leukemogen benzene induces robust changes in the blood transcriptome associated with altered immune system biology, Blood 112 (2008) 1207.
- [67] B.I. Yoon, G.X. Li, K. Kitada, Y. Kawasaki, K. Igarashi, Y. Kodama, T. Inoue, K. Kobayashi, J. Kanno, D.Y. Kim, T. Inoue, Y. Hirabayashi, Mechanisms of benzeneinduced hematotoxicity and leukemogenicity: cDNA microarray analyses using mouse bone marrow tissue, Environ. Health Perspect. 111 (2003) 1411–1420.

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- [68] B. Faiola, E.S. Fuller, V.A. Wong, L. Recio, Gene expression profile in bone marrow and hematopoietic stem cells in mice exposed to inhaled benzene, Mutat. Res. 549 (2004) 195–212.
- [69] IARC, Overall evaluations of carcinogenicity: an updating of IARC Monographs volumes 1–42, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans 7 (1987) 1–440.
- [70] IARC, Some drinking-water disinfectants and contaminants, including arsenic, IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum., 2004, pp. 1–477.
- [71] G. Pershagen, The carcinogenicity of arsenic, Environ. Health Perspect. 40 (1981) 93–100.
- [72] A.H. Smith, C. Hopenhayn-Rich, M.N. Bates, H.M. Goeden, I. Hertz-Picciotto, H.M. Duggan, R. Wood, M.J. Kosnett, M.T. Smith, Cancer risks from arsenic in drinking water, Environ. Health Perspect. 97 (1992) 259–267.
- [73] P. Ghosh, M. Banerjee, A.K. Giri, K. Ray, Toxicogenomics of arsenic: classical ideas and recent advances, Mutat. Res. 659 (2008) 293–301.
- [74] NRC, Arsenic in drinking water 2001 update, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 2001.
- [75] A.S. Andrew, D.A. Jewell, R.A. Mason, M.L. Whitfield, J.H. Moore, M.R. Karagas, Drinking-water arsenic exposure modulates gene expression in human lymphocytes from a U.S. population, Environ. Health Perspect. 116 (2008) 524–531.
- [76] C.J. Chen, S.L. Wang, J.M. Chiou, C.H. Tseng, H.Y. Chiou, Y.M. Hsueh, S.Y. Chen, M.M. Wu, M.S. Lai, Arsenic and diabetes and hypertension in human populations: a review, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 222 (2007) 298–304.
- [77] J.R. Meliker, R.L. Wahl, L.L. Cameron, J.O. Nriagu, Arsenic in drinking water and cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and kidney disease in Michigan: a standardized mortality ratio analysis, Environ. Health 6 (2007) 4.
- [78] C. Hopenhayn, H.M. Bush, A. Bingcang, I. Hertz-Picciotto, Association between arsenic exposure from drinking water and anemia during pregnancy, J. Occup. Environ. Med. 48 (2006) 635–643.
- [79] A. Rahman, M. Vahter, E.C. Ekstrom, M. Rahman, A.H. Golam Mustafa, M.A. Wahed, M. Yunus, L.A. Persson, Association of arsenic exposure during pregnancy with fetal loss and infant death: a cohort study in Bangladesh, Am. J. Epidemiol. 165 (2007) 1389–1396.
- [80] G.A. Wasserman, X. Liu, F. Parvez, H. Ahsan, P. Factor-Litvak, A. van Geen, V. Slavkovich, N.J. Lolacono, Z. Cheng, I. Hussain, H. Momotaj, J.H. Graziano, Water arsenic exposure and children's intellectual function in Araihazar, Bangladesh, Environ. Health Perspect. 112 (2004) 1329–1333.
- [81] A.H. Smith, G. Marshall, Y. Yuan, C. Ferreccio, J. Liaw, O. von Ehrenstein, C. Steinmaus, M.N. Bates, S. Selvin, Increased mortality from lung cancer and bronchiectasis in young adults after exposure to arsenic in utero and in early childhood, Environ. Health Perspect. 114 (2006) 1293–1296.
- [82] J. Liaw, G. Marshall, Y. Yuan, C. Ferreccio, C. Steinmaus, A.H. Smith, Increased childhood liver cancer mortality and arsenic in drinking water in northern Chile, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 17 (2008) 1982–1987.
- [83] M.N. Bates, O.A. Rey, M.L. Biggs, C. Hopenhayn, L.E. Moore, D. Kalman, C. Steinmaus, A.H. Smith, Case-control study of bladder cancer and exposure to arsenic in Argentina, Am. J. Epidemiol. 159 (2004) 381–389.
- [84] M. Vahter, Health effects of early life exposure to arsenic, Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 102 (2008) 204–211.
- [85] R.C. Fry, P. Navasumrit, C. Valiathan, J.P. Svensson, B.J. Hogan, M. Luo, S. Bhattacharya, K. Kandjanapa, S. Soontararuks, S. Nookabkaew, C. Mahidol, M. Ruchirawat, L.D. Samson, Activation of inflammation/NF-kappaB signaling in infants born to arsenic-exposed mothers, PLoS Genet. 3 (2007) e207.
- [86] M. Gstaiger, R. Aebersold, Applying mass spectrometry-based proteomics to genetics, genomics and network biology, Nat. Rev. Genet. 10 (2009) 617–627.
- [87] C. Wingren, C.A. Borrebaeck, Antibody-based microarrays, Methods Mol. Biol. 509 (2009) 57–84.
- [88] B.A. Merrick, The plasma proteome, adductome and idiosyncratic toxicity in toxicoproteomics research, Brief Funct. Genomic Proteomic 7 (2008) 35–49.
- [89] H.J. Issaq, Z. Xiao, T.D. Veenstra, Serum and plasma proteomics, Chem. Rev. 107 (2007) 3601–3620.
- [90] S. Ahmad, E. Sundaramoorthy, R. Arora, S. Sen, G. Karthikeyan, S. Sengupta, Progressive degradation of serum samples limits proteomic biomarker discovery, Anal. Biochem. 394 (2009) 237–242.
- [91] C.F. Taylor, N.W. Paton, K.S. Lilley, P.A. Binz, R.K. Julian Jr., A.R. Jones, W. Zhu, R. Apweiler, R. Aebersold, E.W. Deutsch, M.J. Dunn, A.J. Heck, A. Leitner, M. Macht, M. Mann, L. Martens, T.A. Neubert, S.D. Patterson, P. Jing, S.L. Seymour, P. Souda, A. Tsugita, J. Vandekerckhove, T.M. Vondriska, J.P. Whitelegge, M.R. Wilkins, I. Xenarios, J.R. Yates 3rd, H. Hermjakob, The minimum information about a proteomics experiment (MIAPE), Nat. Biotechnol. 25 (2007) 887–893.
- [92] S. Orchard, P.A. Binz, H. Hermjakob, Second Joint HUPO Publication and Proteomics Standards Initiative Workshop, Proteomics 9 (2009) 4428–14428.
- [93] R. Vermeulen, Q. Lan, L. Zhang, L. Gunn, D. McCarthy, R.L. Woodbury, M. McGuire, V.N. Podust, G. Li, N. Chatterjee, R. Mu, S. Yin, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, Decreased levels of CXC-chemokines in serum of benzene-exposed workers identified by array-based proteomics, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (2005) 17041–17046.
- [94] C.M. Hegedus, C.F. Skibola, M. Warner, D.R. Skibola, D. Alexander, S. Lim, N.L. Dangleben, L. Zhang, M. Clark, R.M. Pfeiffer, C. Steinmaus, A.H. Smith, M.T. Smith, L.E. Moore, Decreased urinary beta-defensin-1 expression as a biomarker of response to arsenic, Toxicol. Sci. 106 (2008) 74–82.
- [95] J. Harezlak, M.C. Wu, M. Wang, A. Schwartzman, D.C. Christiani, X. Lin, Biomarker discovery for arsenic exposure using functional data. Analysis and feature learning of mass spectrometry proteomic data, J. Proteome Res. 7 (2008) 217–224.
- [96] R. Zhai, S. Su, X. Lu, R. Liao, X. Ge, M. He, Y. Huang, S. Mai, X. Lu, D. Christiani, Proteomic profiling in the sera of workers occupationally exposed to arsenic and lead: identification of potential biomarkers, Biometals 18 (2005) 603–613.

- [97] W.A. Joo, D. Sul, D.Y. Lee, E. Lee, C.W. Kim, Proteomic analysis of plasma proteins of workers exposed to benzene, Mutat. Res. 558 (2004) 35–44.
- [98] J. Fleischer, E. Grage-Griebenow, B. Kasper, H. Heine, M. Ernst, E. Brandt, H.D. Flad, F. Petersen, Platelet factor 4 inhibits proliferation and cytokine release of activated human T cells, J. Immunol. 169 (2002) 770–777.
- [99] J.E. Ehlert, A. Ludwig, T.A. Grimm, B. Lindner, H.D. Flad, E. Brandt, Downregulation of neutrophil functions by the ELR(+) CXC chemokine platelet basic protein, Blood 96 (2000) 2965–2972.
- [100] Z.C. Han, M. Lu, J. Li, M. Defard, B. Boval, N. Schlegel, J.P. Caen, Platelet factor 4 and other CXC chemokines support the survival of normal hematopoietic cells and reduce the chemosensitivity of cells to cytotoxic agents, Blood 89 (1997) 2328– 2335.
- [101] E.V. Valore, C.H. Park, A.J. Quayle, K.R. Wiles, P.B. McCray Jr., T. Ganz, Human beta-defensin-1: an antimicrobial peptide of urogenital tissues, J. Clin. Invest. 101 (1998) 1633–1642.
- [102] D. Yang, O. Chertov, S.N. Bykovskaia, Q. Chen, M.J. Buffo, J. Shogan, M. Anderson, J.M. Schroder, J.M. Wang, O.M. Howard, J.J. Oppenheim, Beta-defensins: linking innate and adaptive immunity through dendritic and T cell CCR6, Science 286 (1999) 525–528.
- [103] H.D. Zucht, J. Grabowsky, M. Schrader, C. Liepke, M. Jurgens, P. Schulz-Knappe, W.G. Forssmann, Human beta-defensin-1: A urinary peptide present in variant molecular forms and its putative functional implication, Eur. J. Med. Res. 3 (1998) 315–323.
- [104] K.C. Kim, S. Friso, S.W. Choi, DNA methylation, an epigenetic mechanism connecting folate to healthy embryonic development and aging, J. Nutr. Biochem. 20 (2009) 917–926.
- [105] C.D. Davis, E.O. Uthus, DNA methylation, cancer susceptibility, and nutrient interactions, Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood) 229 (2004) 988–995.
- [106] M.F. Fraga, M. Esteller, Epigenetics and aging: the targets and the marks, Trends Genet. 23 (2007) 413–418.
- [107] M.F. Fraga, E. Ballestar, M.F. Paz, S. Ropero, F. Setien, M.L. Ballestar, D. Heine-Suner, J.C. Cigudosa, M. Urioste, J. Benitez, M. Boix-Chornet, A. Sanchez-Aguilera, C. Ling, E. Carlsson, P. Poulsen, A. Vaag, Z. Stephan, T.D. Spector, Y.Z. Wu, C. Plass, M. Esteller, Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (2005) 10604–10609.
- [108] R.L. Jirtle, M.K. Skinner, Environmental epigenomics and disease susceptibility, Nat. Rev. Genet. 8 (2007) 253-262.
- [109] M. Szyf, The dynamic epigenome and its implications in toxicology, Toxicol. Sci. 100 (2007) 7–23.
- [110] S. Sridhar, F. Schembri, J. Zeskind, V. Shah, A.M. Gustafson, K. Steiling, G. Liu, Y.M. Dumas, X. Zhang, J.S. Brody, M.E. Lenburg, A. Spira, Smoking-induced gene expression changes in the bronchial airway are reflected in nasal and buccal epithelium, BMC Genom. 9 (2008) 259.
- [111] F. Schembri, S. Sridhar, C. Perdomo, A.M. Gustafson, X. Zhang, A. Ergun, J. Lu, G. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Bowers, C. Vaziri, K. Ott, K. Sensinger, J.J. Collins, J.S. Brody, R. Getts, M.E. Lenburg, A. Spira, MicroRNAs as modulators of smoking-induced gene expression changes in human airway epithelium, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106 (2009) 2319–2324.
- [112] S. Beck, V.K. Rakyan, The methylome: approaches for global DNA methylation profiling, Trends Genet. 24 (2008) 231–237.
- [113] W. Kong, J.J. Zhao, L. He, J.Q. Cheng, Strategies for profiling microRNA expression, J. Cell Physiol. 218 (2009) 22–25.
- [114] O. Galm, J.G. Herman, S.B. Baylin, The fundamental role of epigenetics in hematopoietic malignancies, Blood Rev. 20 (2006) 1–13.
- [115] S. Mulero-Navarro, M. Esteller, Epigenetic biomarkers for human cancer: the time is now, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 68 (2008) 1–11.
- [116] T. Vaissiere, R.J. Hung, D. Zaridze, A. Moukeria, C. Cuenin, V. Fasolo, G. Ferro, A. Paliwal, P. Hainaut, P. Brennan, J. Tost, P. Boffetta, Z. Herceg, Quantitative analysis of DNA methylation profiles in lung cancer identifies aberrant DNA methylation of specific genes and its association with gender and cancer risk factors, Cancer Res. 69 (2009) 243–252.
- [117] T.M. Edwards, J.P. Myers, Environmental exposures and gene regulation in disease etiology, Environ. Health Perspect. 115 (2007) 1264–1270.
- [118] M. Brait, J.G. Ford, S. Papaiahgari, M.A. Garza, J.I. Lee, M. Loyo, L. Maldonado, S. Begum, L. McCaffrey, M. Howerton, D. Sidransky, M.R. Emerson, S. Ahmed, C.D. Williams, M.O. Hoque, Association between lifestyle factors and CpG island methylation in a cancer-free population, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 18 (2009) 2984–2991.
- [119] J. Lu, G. Getz, E.A. Miska, E. Alvarez-Saavedra, J. Lamb, D. Peck, A. Sweet-Cordero, B.L. Ebert, R.H. Mak, A.A. Ferrando, J.R. Downing, T. Jacks, H.R. Horvitz, T.R. Golub, MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers, Nature 435 (2005) 834–838.
- [120] S. Volinia, G.A. Calin, C.G. Liu, S. Ambs, A. Cimmino, F. Petrocca, R. Visone, M. Iorio, C. Roldo, M. Ferracin, R.L. Prueitt, N. Yanaihara, G. Lanza, A. Scarpa, A. Vecchione, M. Negrini, C.C. Harris, C.M. Croce, A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103 (2006) 2257–2261.
- [121] G.A. Calin, M. Ferracin, A. Cimmino, G. Di Leva, M. Shimizu, S.E. Wojcik, M.V. Iorio, R. Visone, N.I. Sever, M. Fabbri, R. Iuliano, T. Palumbo, F. Pichiorri, C. Roldo, R. Garzon, C. Sevignani, L. Rassenti, H. Alder, S. Volinia, C.G. Liu, T.J. Kipps, M. Negrini, C.M. Croce, A MicroRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia, N. Engl. J. Med. 353 (2005) 1793–1801.
- [122] R. Garzon, C.M. Croce, MicroRNAs in normal and malignant hematopoiesis, Curr. Opin. Hematol. 15 (2008) 352–358.
- [123] E. Tili, J.J. Michaille, S. Costinean, C.M. Croce, MicroRNAs, the immune system and rheumatic disease, Nat. Clin. Pract. Rheumatol. 4 (2008) 534–541.

12

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.M. McHale et al./Mutation Research xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- [124] A.E. Erson, E.M. Petty, MicroRNAs in development and disease, Clin. Genet. 74 (2008) 296–306.
- [125] V. Bollati, A. Baccarelli, L. Hou, M. Bonzini, S. Fustinoni, D. Cavallo, H.M. Byun, J. Jiang, B. Marinelli, A.C. Pesatori, P.A. Bertazzi, A.S. Yang, Changes in DNA methylation patterns in subjects exposed to low-dose benzene, Cancer Res. 67 (2007) 876–880.
- [126] C.I. Muller, Q.T. Luong, L.Y. Shih, L.C. Jones, J.C. Desmond, N. Kawamata, O. Tcherniantchouk, Q. Liu, K. Ito, M. Osato, Y. Ito, A. Tefferi, S. de Vos, H.P. Koeffler, Identification of marker genes including RUNX3 (AML2) that discriminate between different myeloproliferative neoplasms and normal individuals, Leukemia 22 (2008) 1773–1778.
- [127] G. Neufeld, N. Shraga-Heled, T. Lange, N. Guttmann-Raviv, Y. Herzog, O. Kessler, Semaphorins in cancer, Front. Biosci. 10 (2005) 751–760.
- [128] S. Chanda, U.B. Dasgupta, D. Guhamazumder, M. Gupta, U. Chaudhuri, S. Lahiri, S. Das, N. Ghosh, D. Chatterjee, DNA hypermethylation of promoter of gene p53 and p16 in arsenic-exposed people with and without malignancy, Toxicol. Sci. 89 (2006) 431–437.
- [129] C.Y. Chai, Y.C. Huang, W.C. Hung, W.Y. Kang, W.T. Chen, Arsenic salts induced autophagic cell death and hypermethylation of DAPK promoter in SV-40 immortalized human uroepithelial cells, Toxicol. Lett. 173 (2007) 48–56.
- [130] W.T. Chen, W.C. Hung, W.Y. Kang, Y.C. Huang, C.Y. Chai, Urothelial carcinomas arising in arsenic-contaminated areas are associated with hypermethylation of the gene promoter of the death-associated protein kinase, Histopathology 51 (2007) 785–792.
- [131] C.J. Marsit, M.R. Karagas, A. Schned, K.T. Kelsey, Carcinogen exposure and epigenetic silencing in bladder cancer, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1076 (2006) 810–821.
- [132] M.L. Kile, A.G. Ronnenberg, Can folate intake reduce arsenic toxicity? Nutr. Rev. 66 (2008) 349–353.
- [133] C.J. Marsit, K. Eddy, K.T. Kelsey, MicroRNA responses to cellular stress, Cancer Res. 66 (2006) 10843-10848.
- [134] NRC, Science and decisions: Advancing risk assessment, 2008.
- [135] E.K. Weisburger, History of the Bioassay Program of the National Cancer Institute, Prog. Exp. Tumor Res. 26 (1983) 187–201.
- [136] L. Muller, Y. Kikuchi, G. Probst, L. Schechtman, H. Shimada, T. Sofuni, D. Tweats, ICH-harmonised guidances on genotoxicity testing of pharmaceuticals: evolution, reasoning and impact, Mutat. Res. 436 (1999) 195–225.
- [137] H. Ellinger-Ziegelbauer, J. Aubrecht, J.C. Kleinjans, H.J. Ahr, Application of toxicogenomics to study mechanisms of genotoxicity and carcinogenicity, Toxicol. Lett. 186 (2009) 36–44.
- [138] M.R. Fielden, A. Nie, M. McMillian, C.S. Elangbam, B.A. Trela, Y. Yang, R.T. Dunn 2nd, Y. Dragan, R. Fransson-Stehen, M. Bogdanffy, S.P. Adams, W.R. Foster, S.J. Chen, P. Rossi, P. Kasper, D. Jacobson-Kram, K.S. Tatsuoka, P.J. Wier, J. Gollub, D.N. Halbert, A. Roter, J.K. Young, J.F. Sina, J. Marlowe, H.J. Martus, J. Aubrecht, A.J. Olaharski, N. Roome, P. Nioi, I. Pardo, R. Snyder, R. Perry, P. Lord, W. Mattes, B.D. Car, Interlaboratory evaluation of genomic signatures for predicting carcinogenicity in the rat, Toxicol. Sci. 103 (2008) 28–34.
- [139] M.R. Fielden, R. Brennan, J. Gollub, A gene expression biomarker provides early prediction and mechanistic assessment of hepatic tumor induction by nongenotoxic chemicals, Toxicol. Sci. 99 (2007) 90–100.
- [140] K. Tsujimura, M. Asamoto, S. Suzuki, N. Hokaiwado, K. Ogawa, T. Shirai, Prediction of carcinogenic potential by a toxicogenomic approach using rat hepatoma cells, Cancer Sci. 97 (2006) 1002–1010.
- [141] K. Nakayama, Y. Kawano, Y. Kawakami, N. Moriwaki, M. Sekijima, M. Otsuka, Y. Yakabe, H. Miyaura, K. Saito, K. Sumida, T. Shirai, Differences in gene expression profiles in the liver between carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic isomers of compounds given to rats in a 28-day repeat-dose toxicity study, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 217 (2006) 299–307.
- [142] H. Ellinger-Ziegelbauer, B. Stuart, B. Wahle, W. Bomann, H.J. Ahr, Comparison of the expression profiles induced by genotoxic and nongenotoxic carcinogens in rat liver, Mutat. Res. 575 (2005) 61–84.
- [143] C.J. Mattingly, M.C. Rosenstein, G.T. Colby, J.N. Forrest Jr., J.L. Boyer, The Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD): a resource for comparative toxicological studies, J. Exp. Zool. A Comp. Exp. Biol. 305 (2006) 689–692.
- [144] M. Waters, S. Stasiewicz, B.A. Merrick, K. Tomer, P. Bushel, R. Paules, N. Stegman, G. Nehls, K.J. Yost, C.H. Johnson, S.F. Gustafson, S. Xirasagar, N. Xiao, C.C. Huang, P. Boyer, D.D. Chan, Q. Pan, H. Gong, J. Taylor, D. Choi, A. Rashid, A. Ahmed, R. Howle, J. Selkirk, R. Tennant, J. Fostel, CEBS—Chemical Effects in Biological Systems: a public data repository integrating study design and toxicity data with microarray and proteomics data, Nucleic Acids Res. 36 (2008) D892–D900.
- [145] K.S. Crump, W.A. Chiu, R.P. Subramaniam, Issues in using human variability distributions to estimate low-dose risk, Environmental Health Perspectives 118 (2010) 387-393.
- [146] W.E. Funk, S. Waidyanatha, S.H. Chaing, S.M. Rappaport, Hemoglobin adducts of benzene oxide in neonatal and adult dried blood spots, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 17 (2008) 1896–1901.
- [147] Y.S. Lin, R. Vermeulen, C.H. Tsai, S. Waidyanatha, Q. Lan, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, L. Zhang, M. Shen, G. Li, S. Yin, S. Kim, S.M. Rappaport, Albumin adducts of electrophilic benzene metabolites in benzene-exposed and control workers, Environ. Health Perspect. 115 (2007) 28–34.
- [148] K.Z. Guyton, A.D. Kyle, J. Aubrecht, V.J. Cogliano, D.A. Eastmond, M. Jackson, N. Keshava, M.S. Sandy, B. Sonawane, L. Zhang, M.D. Waters, M.T. Smith, Improving prediction of chemical carcinogenicity by considering multiple mechanisms and applying toxicogenomic approaches, Mutat. Res. 681 (2009) 230–240.
- [149] S.M. Rappaport, S. Kim, Q. Lan, R. Vermeulen, S. Waidyanatha, L. Zhang, G. Li, S. Yin, R.B. Hayes, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, Evidence that humans metabolize benzene via two pathways, Environ. Health Perspect. 117 (2009) 946–952.

- [150] S.W. Edwards, R.J. Preston, Systems biology and mode of action based risk assessment, Toxicol. Sci. 106 (2008) 312–318.
 [151] J.T. Dudley, A.L. Parter, Identify and A.L
- [151] J.T. Dudley, A.J. Butte, Identification of discriminating biomarkers for human disease using integrative network biology, Pac. Symp. Biocomput. (2009) 27–38.
 [152] I.M. Goblke, R. Thomas, Y. Zhang, M.G. Pac. Symp. Biocomput. (2009) 27–38.
- [152] J.M. Gohlke, R. Thomas, Y. Zhang, M.C. Rosenstein, A.P. Davis, C. Murphy, K.G. Becker, C.J. Mattingly, C.J. Portier, Genetic and environmental pathways to complex diseases, BMC Syst. Biol. 3 (2009) 46.
- [153] T. Sjoblom, S. Jones, L.D. Wood, D.W. Parsons, J. Lin, T.D. Barber, D. Mandelker, R.J. Leary, J. Ptak, N. Silliman, S. Szabo, P. Buckhaults, C. Farrell, P. Meeh, S.D. Markowitz, J. Willis, D. Dawson, J.K. Willson, A.F. Gazdar, J. Hartigan, L. Wu, C. Liu, G. Parmigiani, B.H. Park, K.E. Bachman, N. Papadopoulos, B. Vogelstein, K.W. Kinzler, V.E. Velculescu, The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers, Science 314 (2006) 268–274.
- [154] S. Jones, X. Zhang, D.W. Parsons, J.C. Lin, R.J. Leary, P. Angenendt, P. Mankoo, H. Carter, H. Kamiyama, A. Jimeno, S.M. Hong, B. Fu, M.T. Lin, E.S. Calhoun, M. Kamiyama, K. Walter, T. Nikolskaya, Y. Nikolsky, J. Hartigan, D.R. Smith, M. Hidalgo, S.D. Leach, A.P. Klein, E.M. Jaffee, M. Goggins, A. Maitra, C. Iacobuzio-Donahue, J.R. Eshleman, S.E. Kern, R.H. Hruban, R. Karchin, N. Papadopoulos, G. Parmigiani, B. Vogelstein, V.E. Velculescu, K.W. Kinzler, Core signaling pathways in human pancreatic cancers revealed by global genomic analyses, Science 321 (2008) 1801–1806.
- [155] J. Davidsson, H. Lilljebjorn, A. Andersson, S. Veerla, J. Heldrup, M. Behrendtz, T. Fioretos, B. Johansson, The DNA methylome of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Hum. Mol. Genet. 18 (2009) 4054–4065.
- [156] S. Mohr, C.C. Liew, The peripheral-blood transcriptome: new insights into disease and risk assessment, Trends Mol. Med. 13 (2007) 422–432.
- [157] J. Pedersen-Bjergaard, M.K. Andersen, M.T. Andersen, D.H. Christiansen, Genetics of therapy-related myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia, Leukemia 22 (2008) 240–248.
 [158] K.G. Packer, T. P. D. M. S. M.
- [158] K.G. Becker, K.C. Barnes, T.J. Bright, S.A. Wang, The genetic association database, Nat. Genet. 36 (2004) 431–432.
- [159] E. Lund, V. Dumeaux, Systems epidemiology in cancer, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 17 (2008) 2954–2957.
 [160] L. Lagardi E. Martin, Martin Martin, 1997.
- [160] J.P. Ioannidis, Why most published research findings are false, PLoS Med. 2 (2005) e124.
 [161] I.M. Vienne A.C. and D. E. T. Vienne A.C.
- [161] J.M. Kinross, A.C. von Roon, E. Holmes, A. Darzi, J.K. Nicholson, The human gut microbiome: implications for future health care, Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep. 10 (2008) 396-403.
- [162] P. Vineis, L. Airoldi, F. Veglia, L. Olgiati, R. Pastorelli, H. Autrup, A. Dunning, S. Garte, E. Gormally, P. Hainaut, C. Malaveille, G. Matullo, M. Peluso, K. Overvad, A. Tjonneland, F. Clavel-Chapelon, H. Boeing, V. Krogh, D. Palli, S. Panico, R. Tumino, B. Bueno-De-Mesquita, P. Peeters, G. Berglund, G. Hallmans, R. Saracci, E. Riboli, Environmental tobacco smoke and risk of respiratory cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in former smokers and never smokers in the EPIC prospective study, BMJ 330 (2005) 277.
- prospective study, BMJ 330 (2005) 277.
 [163] P.H. Westfall, S.S. Young, Resampling-based Multiple Testing: Examples and Methods for p-value Adjustment, John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 1993.
 [164] Y. Benjamini, Y. Hochberg, Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
- [104] I. Benjamini, Y. Hochberg, Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing, J. R. Stat. Soc. B. 57 (1995) 289–300.
 [165] J. Chen, M.J. van der Laan, M.T. Smith, A.E. Hubbard, A comparison of methods to
- [103] J. CHEH, M.J. VAN GET LAAN, M.T. Smith, A.E. Hubbard, A comparison of methods to control type I errors in microarray studies, Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol. 6 (2007) (Article28).
- [166] M.J. van der Laan, A.E. Hubbard, Quantile-function based null distribution in resampling based multiple testing, Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol. 5 (2006) (Article14).
- [167] C. Tuglus, M.J. Van der Laan, Targeted Methods for Biomarker Discovery, the Search for a Standard, U.C. Berkeley Division of Biostatistics Working Paper Series, 2008. Working Paper 233.
- [168] L. Kaufman, P. Rousseeuw, Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analys, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990.
- [169] A. Subramanian, P. Tamayo, V.K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B.L. Ebert, M.A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S.L. Pomeroy, T.R. Golub, E.S. Lander, J.P. Mesirov, Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genomewide expression profiles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (2005) 15545–15550.
- [170] V.K. Mootha, C.M. Lindgren, K.F. Eriksson, A. Subramanian, S. Sihag, J. Lehar, P. Puigserver, E. Carlsson, M. Ridderstrale, E. Laurila, N. Houstis, M.J. Daly, N. Patterson, J.P. Mesirov, T.R. Golub, P. Tamayo, B. Spiegelman, E.S. Lander, J.N. Hirschhorn, D. Altshuler, L.C. Groop, PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes, Nat. Genet. 34 (2003) 267–273.
- [171] R. Balasubramanian, T. LaFramboise, D. Scholtens, R. Gentleman, A graphtheoretic approach to testing associations between disparate sources of functional genomics data, Bioinformatics 20 (2004) 3353–3362.
- [172] B.R. Zeeberg, W. Feng, G. Wang, M.D. Wang, A.T. Fojo, M. Sunshine, S. Narasimhan, D.W. Kane, W.C. Reinhold, S. Lababidi, K.J. Bussey, J. Riss, J.C. Barrett, J.N. Weinstein, GoMiner: a resource for biological interpretation of genomic and proteomic data, Genome Biol 4 (2003) R28.
- [173] NIH, NIH Roadmap for Medical Research: Epigenomics, http://nihroadmap.nih. gov/epigenomics/, 2007.
 [174] CL Roscen L Vin V. Co. D VIN Annual State S
- [174] C.J. Rosser, L. Liu, Y. Sun, P. Villicana, M. McCullers, S. Porvasnik, P.R. Young, A.S. Parker, S. Goodison, Bladder cancer-associated gene expression signatures identified by profiling of exfoliated urothelia, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 18 (2009) 444–453.
- [175] K.T. Rim, K.K. Park, Y.H. Kim, Y.H. Lee, J.H. Han, Y.H. Chung, I.J. Yu, Geneexpression profiling of human mononuclear cells from welders using cDNA microarray, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 70 (2007) 1264–1277.