Environmental Science & Technology



Modular Advanced Oxidation Process Enabled by Cathodic Hydrogen Peroxide Production

James M. Barazesh,[#] Tom Hennebel,[#] Justin T. Jasper, and David L. Sedlak*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, 407 O'Brien Hall, Berkeley, California 94720-1716, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is frequently used in combination with ultraviolet (UV) light to treat trace organic contaminants in advanced oxidation processes (AOPs). In small-scale applications, such as wellhead and point-of-entry water treatment systems, the need to maintain a stock solution of concentrated H_2O_2 increases the operational cost and complicates the operation of AOPs. To avoid the need for replenishing a stock solution of H_2O_2 , a gas diffusion electrode was used to generate low concentrations of H_2O_2 directly in the water prior to its exposure to UV light. Following the AOP, the solution pH and remove the residual H_2O_2 . The effectiveness of the technology was evaluated using a suite of trace contaminants that spanned a range of reactivity with UV



light and hydroxyl radical (HO[•]) in three different types of source waters (i.e., simulated groundwater, simulated surface water, and municipal wastewater effluent) as well as a sodium chloride solution. Irrespective of the source water, the system produced enough H_2O_2 to treat up to 120 L water d⁻¹. The extent of transformation of trace organic contaminants was affected by the current density and the concentrations of HO[•] scavengers in the source water. The electrical energy per order (E_{EO}) ranged from 1 to 3 kWh m⁻³, with the UV lamp accounting for most of the energy consumption. The gas diffusion electrode exhibited high efficiency for H_2O_2 production over extended periods and did not show a diminution in performance in any of the matrices.

INTRODUCTION

Distributed water treatment systems offer a potential means of exploiting alternative water sources, including municipal wastewater effluent, roof water, stormwater, and water from shallow aquifers.¹ Unfortunately, alternative water sources often contain trace concentrations of organic contaminants (e.g., pesticides, solvents, pharmaceuticals, disinfection byproducts).² As a result, distributed treatment is often seen as an impractical means of providing potable water. Previous attempts to develop point-of-use treatment systems capable of removing trace organic contaminants prior to nonpotable reuse have employed electrochemical processes,^{3,4} but these systems suffer from limitations including the production of toxic byproducts, an inability to remove recalcitrant compounds and high cost of treatment.⁵

Trace organic contaminants can be removed from water by exposure to hydroxyl radicals (HO[•]) in advanced oxidation processes (AOPs).^{6–9} In full-scale potable water reuse systems, trace organic contaminants are frequently removed by addition of a modest concentration of H_2O_2 (e.g., 3 mg/L) followed by exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light. This approach offers numerous benefits over other AOPs in terms of energy consumption, reliability, and production of toxic byproducts.¹⁰ Although UV/H₂O₂ is a well established technology in

centralized treatment facilities, challenges associated with the transport and storage of H_2O_2 make it an impractical solution for distributed treatment systems.¹¹ Electrochemical production of H_2O_2 from O_2 is an attractive alternative means of producing H_2O_2 if it can be achieved without the consumption of large amounts of energy or the formation of toxic byproducts.¹¹

Electrochemical production of low concentrations of H_2O_2 can be achieved by several different approaches. Systems in which oxygen is bubbled into a solution prior to reduction on an electrode surface consume a considerable amount of energy due to the low solubility of oxygen and the need to ensure that it reaches the electrode surface. Bubbling air or pure oxygen into a solution is also an impractical approach for H_2O_2 production in decentralized systems because it requires pumps and controllers. Furthermore, the yield of H_2O_2 from reduction of O_2 is often quite low, which greatly increases electricity consumption.^{11–14} Recently, gas diffusion electrodes have been used to generate H_2O_2 without a need to bubble air or oxygen into a solution.^{11,13,14} Most of the research in this

Received:March 11, 2015Revised:May 12, 2015Accepted:May 19, 2015Published:June 3, 2015

area has been focused on producing concentrated H_2O_2 solutions by using highly conductive solutions or organic solvents.^{12,13} Cathodic production of H_2O_2 for the removal of organics has typically been used for electro-Fenton treatment; however, differences in pH needed for the optimal kinetics of the two reactions (i.e., production of H_2O_2 is most efficient at basic pH values and Fenton's reaction is more effective at acidic pH values) results in inefficient oxidation of trace organics if pH correction is not performed.^{11,15} To produce low concentrations of H_2O_2 in water immediately prior to an AOP, the cathode must be capable of producing H_2O_2 in a low ionic strength, poorly buffered solution at circumneutral pH values. Furthermore, increases in pH that occur in the cathode chamber due to consumption of protons must be compensated for by a subsequent treatment process if the water is to be sent into a water distribution system.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate a system that combines in situ electrochemical production of H₂O₂ followed by UV irradiation and anodic pH adjustment as a cost-effective means of removing trace organic contaminants from water. This new system, which also inactivates waterborne pathogens and transforms photolabile contaminants through exposure to UV light, can be controlled by varying the production of H_2O_2 through adjustment of the applied current. To provide insight into the performance of the system under conditions likely to be encountered in distributed water treatment systems, three representative source waters (i.e., synthetic surface water, synthetic groundwater, and municipal wastewater effluent) were tested and compared to an electrolyte solution consisting of dilute sodium chloride. The performance of the system was investigated in terms of contaminant removal and energy consumption.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. All experiments were performed at room temperature $(23 \pm 2 \ ^{\circ}C)$ with chemicals of reagent grade or higher (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The composition of the waters used is summarized in Table S1, Supporting Information.

Electrochemical Cell and UV Reactor. Experiments were carried out in a two-chambered parallel plate electrochemical cell consisting of two square Perspex frames (internal dimensions: $8 \times 8 \times 1.9$ cm³) separated by a cation exchange membrane (Ultrex CMI-7000, Membranes International Inc., Ringwood, NJ). The frames were bolted together between two square Perspex side plates, creating anode and cathode compartments that each had effective volumes of 122 mL (Figure S1, Supporting Information). A solid plate was used for the anode frame, while the cathode chamber was bolted with a hollow side plate allowing for one side of the gas diffusion cathode to be exposed to air. A Ti mesh electrode coated with an Ir mixed-metal oxide was used as the anode (dimensions: 7.8 \times 7.8 cm; 1 mm thickness; specific surface area 1.0 m² m⁻², Magneto Special Anodes, Netherlands). The anode and cathode had projected electrode surface areas of 64 cm². The UV reactor consisted of a 1 L brown glass bottle ($V_{\text{effective}} = 925$ mL) containing a low-pressure UV lamp (arc length = 16.5 cm, optical path length = 4.3 cm) used typically for swimming pool disinfection (G23 Odyssea Pool Lamp, 9W, Odyssea Aquarium Appliance Co., Ltd., Guangdong, China; Figure S1, Supporting Information).

Gas Diffusion Cathode Fabrication. The gas diffusion cathode was created by modifying carbon fiber paper (AvCarb

P75T, 10×10 cm², Fuel Cell Store, College Station, TX) with a conductive, hydrophobic support layer and a carbon catalyst.¹⁴ The air-facing side of the cathode was prepared by coating a mixture of 60 wt % PTFE and 30 wt % graphite powder (200 mesh, Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA) onto one side of the carbon base layer. The cathode was then air-dried at room temperature, followed by sintering at 350 °C for 40 min. The liquid-facing side was prepared by applying a mixture of 3 mL of propanol with 150 mg of carbon black (Cabot Black Pearls 2000, Cabot, Boston, MA) and 50 mg of PTFE onto the other side of the carbon base layer. The cathode was again airdried at room temperature, followed by sintering at 350 °C for 40 min.

Experimental Approach. Electrolysis experiments were performed at fixed currents controlled by a multichannel potentiostat (Gamry Instruments Inc., Warminster, PA). Water entered the cathode compartment operating in a flow-through mode with hydraulic residence times (HRT) ranging from 1.5 to 5.0 min (120–35 L d⁻¹). Cathode effluent was supplied to the UV reactor and then passed through the anode of the electrochemical cell. The applied charge density (ρ_q , C L⁻¹) was expressed as a product of the current density (I, A m⁻²), electrode surface area (A, m²), and the hydraulic residence time (t, s) normalized by the half chamber reactor volume (V, L):

$$\rho_{\rm q} = \int \frac{I(t) \times A}{V} \times dt = \frac{IAt}{V} \tag{1}$$

Source waters were amended with a mixture of ten test compounds each at a concentration of 10 μ g L⁻¹. For each experiment, samples were collected prior to the electrochemical cell, after passing through the cathode chamber, after the UV reactor, and after passing through the anode. At least 3.5 L of the test solution was passed through the system prior to collection of a sample. Samples were analyzed for H₂O₂, trace organic compounds, and pH. 1.9 mL subsamples to be analyzed for trace organic compounds were mixed with 0.1 mL of methanol to quench radical reactions that could occur prior to analysis. H_2O_2 and pH were measured within 5 min, whereas trace contaminants were stored for a maximum of 8 h. Experiments quantifying H₂O₂ production in the varying waters were performed with applied cathodic current densities from 0 to 30 A m⁻² under varying flow regimes $(35-120 \text{ L d}^{-1})$. To assess the long-term cathode performance, 6000 L of 5 mM Na_2SO_4 in tap water (alkalinity = 0.34 mM, $[Ca^{2+}] = 0.2 mM$) was run through the cell continuously at an applied current density of 15 A m⁻² at a fixed flow rate of 120 L d⁻¹. Samples were collected daily and analyzed for H2O2. The effects of dissolved oxygen concentration on H2O2 production were evaluated by sparging source water with N₂ to remove O₂. The rate of production of H2O2 remained unchanged under N2sparged conditions.

Analytical Methods. H_2O_2 and free chlorine were measured with a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer with the titanium(IV) sulfate method at 405 nm and the *N*,*N*diethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (DPD) method at 515 nm, respectively.^{16,17} Determination of free chlorine was performed in the absence of H_2O_2 to eliminate the positive interference of H_2O_2 with DPD.¹⁸ The UV absorbance of the four source waters was measured with a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were measured using a Shimadzu TOC-V analyzer. NO_3^- , Cl^- , and SO_4^{2-} were analyzed using a Dionex DX-120 ion chromatograph with an AS19G column.

Article



Figure 1. Production of hydrogen peroxide (A) and Coulombic efficiency (B) as a function of applied charge density (WWTP: wastewater treatment plant).

Table 1. Composition and Properties of the Tested Waters

	property				scavenging compound			
water matrix	UV absorbance _{254 nm} (cm ⁻¹)	$(\mu S \text{ cm}^{-1})$	pH_{initial}	TIC (mequiv L ⁻¹)	[DOC] (mgC L ⁻¹)	$(mM)^b$	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mM)	CO_3^{2-} (mM)
electrolyte	0	1515	5.36	0	0	0-0.54	0	0
synthetic groundwater	0.0027	440.6	8.69	3.9	0.1	0-0.54	3.79	0.09
synthetic surface water	0.131	360.4	8.55	2.4	1.6	0-0.54	2.35	0.04
wastewater effluent	0.137	2040	8.17	5.0	4.9	0-0.54	4.90	0.03
rate constant								
$k_{\rm HO},_{\rm cont}$ (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)					$9.8 \times 10^{3} {}^{47} a$	2.7×10^{748}	8.5×10^{6}	3.9×10^{8}
a_{1} , a_{2} we constant is given in L mcC ⁻¹ c ⁻¹ b_{1} [I O] is veriable and denote the employed summer density								

 ${}^{a}k_{HO^{\bullet},NOM}$ rate constant is given in L mgC ${}^{-1}s^{-1}$. ${}^{b}[H_{2}O_{2}]$ is variable and dependent on the applied current density.

K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ were analyzed using a Dionex ICS-2000 ion chromatograph with a CS12A column. Fluence rate values were determined by chemical actinometry using 10 μ M atrazine as an actinometer ($\varepsilon_{254} = 3860 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\phi_{254} = 0.046$ mol Ei⁻¹, buffered at pH = 8 using a borate buffer; details of the calculation in the Supporting Information).^{19,20} Conductivity was measured with an Ultrameter II 4P (Myron L Company, Carlsbad, CA). Test compounds were quantified in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode with an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system coupled to a 6460 triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS/MS), as described previously.²¹ Analytical details and compound specific parameters are provided in the Supporting Information text and Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

Electrical Power Calculations. The gas diffusion electrode was polarized cathodically against a Ag/AgCl reference electrode (+0.197 V vs SHE; BASi, USA). The full cell potential between the working (i.e., cathode) and counter (i.e., anode) electrodes was measured in a two-electrode setup. The total system power (P_{total} , W) is a combination of the UV lamp power (P_{lamp} , W) and the electrochemical cell power, which can be expressed as a product of the current density (I, A m⁻²), cell potential (V_{cell}), and the electrode surface area (A, m²):

$$P_{\text{total}} = I \times A \times V_{\text{cell}} + P_{\text{lamp}} \tag{2}$$

RESULTS

Hydrogen Peroxide Production as a Function of Current in Varying Source Waters. Hydrogen peroxide concentrations (Figure 1A) and production rates (Figure S2, Supporting Information) were determined for an array of charge densities that were achieved from combinations of current densities $(0-30 \text{ Am}^{-2})$ and cathode chamber retention times (1.5-5 min). A linear relationship between H_2O_2 production and applied charge density was observed at charge densities greater than 50 C L⁻¹, independent of how the charge was obtained (i.e., high current density and short retention times or low current density and long retention times):

$$[H_2O_2] = 0.0048 \times \rho_q \quad (50 \le \rho_q \le 375 \,\mathrm{C} \,\mathrm{L}^{-1}) \tag{3}$$

where ρ_q is the specific charge density applied in C L⁻¹ and $[H_2O_2]$ is the hydrogen peroxide concentration in mM. For all of the waters tested, the Coulombic efficiency of O_2 reduction to H_2O_2 averaged 88.8 ± 1.8% at charge densities greater than 50 C L⁻¹ (Figure 1B). At lower charge densities, lower Coulombic efficiencies were observed (note the deviation from the linear fit in Figure 1A at charge densities below 50 C L⁻¹). The observed increased Coulombic efficiencies at higher charge densities agreed with previously published data for electrochemical synthesis of H_2O_2 using a gas diffusion electrode composed of a fluorocarbon binder and activated carbon catalyst fed with conductive, alkaline solutions.^{11,12,22}

 H_2O_2 production was independent of the type of source water used despite the substantial variability in the composition of the matrices (Table 1). This suggests that H_2O_2 production was not affected by pH, the presence of natural organic matter (NOM), dissolved ions, or conductivity over the range of applied charge densities studied. Although H_2O_2 production was not influenced by influent water quality, the cell potential, and therefore energy consumption, was affected by the conductivity of the source waters. Higher ionic strength waters exhibited lower ohmic resistances and therefore operated at

Environmental Science & Technology

lower cell potentials, thus decreasing their energy consumption. For a given applied charge, the power required decreased with increased conductivity (synthetic surface water > synthetic groundwater > wastewater effluent \cong electrolyte) (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Even for low conductivity surface water, however, the energy consumption for hydrogen peroxide production at a flow rate of 120 L d⁻¹ was still relatively low (0.018–0.31 kWh m⁻³ for 5 < *I* < 30 A m⁻²), indicating that in situ H₂O₂ production required much less energy than operation of the UV lamp (1.8 kWh m⁻³; calculations provided in the Supporting Information).

The H_2O_2 production rate increased linearly with applied current density, with a maximum of between 14.4 and 14.8 mg $H_2O_2 L^{-1} min^{-1}$ at 30 A m⁻² for all of the waters tested (Figure S2, Supporting Information). In full-scale AOP systems (e.g., the Orange County Water District's Groundwater Replenishment System), 3 mg $H_2O_2 L^{-1}$ (0.09 mM) is typically applied.²³ This concentration can be obtained with the gas diffusion electrode at an applied current density of only 4.14 A m⁻² at a hydraulic residence time of 1.5 min. Under these conditions, this benchtop system could process approximately 120 L of water per day while consuming approximately 1.7 Wh to produce H_2O_2 .

Trace Organic Contaminant Removal by Electro-Generated H₂O₂ and UV Irradiation. The removal of trace organic contaminants involved direct photolysis, reactions with HO^{\bullet} produced by photolysis of H_2O_2 in the UV chamber, and direct oxidation of contaminants on the anode. At the fluence employed in the UV chamber ($F_0 \sim 3000 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$), direct photolysis only removed those compounds that exhibited high quantum yields and strong light absorbance at 254 nm (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Among the compounds tested, carbamazepine exhibited the lowest tendency for direct transformation by UV light (<30% removal for all matrices), while more photoreactive compounds, such as sulfamethoxazole, propranolol, and atrazine, displayed higher removals (55-99%). Unlike the variability of the compounds with respect to direct photolysis, the suite of trace organics all reacted with HO[•] at near diffusion controlled rates $(10^9 - 10^{10})$ M^{-1} s⁻¹; Table S3, Supporting Information). As a result of its low reactivity with UV light, carbamazepine removal in the presence of H₂O₂ and UV light provided useful information on the transformation of organic contaminants by HO[•].

The extent of removal of carbamazepine varied among the different matrices (Figure 2). The presence of HO[•] scavengers explained much of the variability. In the absence of current (and therefore H_2O_2), the variability of carbamazepine transformation was predominately influenced by the screening of light, as accounted for by the water factor:^{20,24}

water factor =
$$\frac{[1 - 10^{-1.2\alpha z}]}{(2.3)(1.2)\alpha z}$$
 (4)

where z is the mixed water body depth (m) and α is the attenuation coefficient of the water body. The water factor, which was primarily influenced by the amount of NOM, followed the trend: electrolyte (0.998) > groundwater (0.985) > synthetic surface water (0.598) > wastewater effluent (0.508). Transformation of carbamazepine solely in the presence of UV light varied from 22 ± 5% in the electrolyte solution to 15 ± 4% in the wastewater effluent. The observed removal of carbamazepine in the surface water and wastewater effluent, however, was greater than suggested from the water factor. This



Figure 2. Removal of carbamazepine as a function of current density for the four types of source waters (WWTP: wastewater treatment plant).

may be explained by the generation of HO[•] and ³DOM* produced from NOM sensitization by UV light, which can be significant at UV fluences employed in AOPs.^{25,26}

The rate of transformation of trace contaminants increased with current density due to additional HO[•] production that occurred at higher H₂O₂ concentrations (Tables S4–S7, Supporting Information). Complete carbamazepine transformation was observed after the UV treatment chamber at an applied current density of 5 A m⁻² for the electrolyte. For the three representative source waters, carbamazepine transformation increased to 98.7 \pm 0.6% for groundwater, 93.3 \pm 1.0% for surface water, and 78.5 \pm 1.9% for wastewater effluent as the current increased to 25 A m⁻². Organic compounds that have lower reaction rate constants with HO[•] and are not susceptible to direct photolysis will require higher current densities to achieve a similar level of treatment.

The fraction of HO^{\bullet} that reacted with the contaminants can be estimated by considering the concentrations and rate constants for reactions of different solutes with HO^{\bullet} :

fraction HO[•] to contaminants =
$$\frac{\sum k_{\text{HO}^{\bullet},\text{cont}}[\text{cont}]}{\sum k_{\text{HO}^{\bullet},\text{S}}[S]}$$
(5)

where $k_{\text{OH}^{\bullet},\text{S}}$ and $k_{\text{HO}^{\bullet},\text{cont}}$ are the second order reaction rate constants of scavengers and contaminants with HO[•], respectively, and [S] is the concentration of the scavenger (e.g., HCO₃⁻⁷, CO₃²⁻, NOM, H₂O₂; Tables 1 and S3, Supporting Information).

Effect of H_2O_2 on Treatment Efficiency. At increasing H_2O_2 concentrations, a trade-off exists between additional transformation of trace organics by HO[•] produced from H_2O_2 photolysis and greater radical scavenging and light screening by H_2O_2 .²⁷ Therefore, despite linear increases in H_2O_2 production with current density, there is a diminishing benefit to the treatment. As H_2O_2 increased from 0.09 mM (4.14 A m⁻²) to 0.54 mM (25 A m⁻²), the fraction of HO[•] reacting with contaminants decreased by 20%, 21%, and 10% for the surface water, groundwater, and wastewater effluent, respectively. At 0.54 mM H_2O_2 (25 A m⁻²), there was a 4.0%, 4.7%, and 3.8% reduction in direct photolysis rates of contaminants from additional light screening by H_2O_2 for the surface water, groundwater, and wastewater effluent, respectively (details of



Figure 3. pH change of the source waters prior to entering the electrochemical cell, after passing through the cathode chamber, after the UV reactor, and after the anode as a function of current density (WWTP: wastewater treatment plant).

HO[•] branching ratio and direct photolysis calculations are included in the Supporting Information).

Effect of pH on Treatment Efficiency. At pH 8, approximately 6.7%, 6.5%, and 2.9% of HO[•] reacted with the organic contaminants in the UV reactor at an initial H₂O₂ concentration of 3 mg L^{-1} (0.09 mM) for the surface water, groundwater, and wastewater effluent, respectively. At pH 10, the fraction of HO[•] reacting with trace organic contaminants decreased to 0.9%, 0.6%, and 0.4% for the three source waters, respectively. The significant decrease in HO[•] reacting with the trace organic contaminants was due to scavenging by carbonate at the higher pH values. The product of this reaction, $^{\circ}CO_3^{-}$, can play a significant role in the transformation of certain organic compounds (e.g., propranolol and sulfamethoxazole; Table S3, Supporting Information).²⁸ As a result of differences in alkalinity of the different source waters, the importance of carbonate scavenging and °CO3⁻ reactions depends on the source water composition and the applied current density (i.e., higher applied currents result in greater pH increases in the cathode chamber). Although nitrite is an effective scavenger of HO[•] $(k_{\text{HO}^{\bullet},\text{NO}_{2}^{-}} = 6 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$, less than 0.3% of the generated HO[•] would be scavenged by nitrite at concentrations typically found in nitrified wastewater effluent (i.e., ~0.1 mg L^{-1}). The formation of halogen radicals from reactions between HO• and halide ions (chloride and bromide) should only be significant at low pH values and therefore will have a negligible impact on contaminant transformation at the circumneutral and basic pH values observed in this system.²⁹

Anodic pH Adjustment. The generation of H_2O_2 by the cathode consumed protons and increased the solution pH (reaction 6). In the anode, oxidation reactions produced protons and lowered the solution pH (reaction 7):

$$O_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \leftrightarrow H_2O_2$$
 (cathode) (6)

$$H_2O \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \quad (anode)$$
 (7)

$$2\text{Cl}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + 2\text{e}^- \quad (\text{anode}) \tag{8}$$

$$Cl_2 + H_2O \leftrightarrow HOCl + H^+ + Cl^-$$
 (9)

For the production of 3 mg L^{-1} (0.09 mM) of H_2O_2 at a current density of 4.14 A m⁻², approximately 0.20 mequiv L^{-1} of protons should have been consumed or produced at the cathode and anode, respectively. To maintain electroneutrality, a net migration of protons occurred from the anode chamber to the cathode chamber via the cation exchange membrane. In addition to protons, cations that were present at higher concentrations (e.g., Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺) also carried ionic charge through the membrane satisfying electroneutrality in the cathode chamber while creating a proton deficit in the cathode chamber.³⁰

As a result of differences in buffering among matrices, the solution pH should have increased more in the cathode chamber for waters with low alkalinity. The pH in the cathode chamber increased for each of the waters as the current increased from 0 to 25 A m^{-2} (Figure 3). The magnitude of pH increase was most pronounced for the electrolyte and surface water (alkalinity = 0 and 2.45 mM, respectively) with postcathode pH values ranging from 10 to 10.5, while the pH never exceeded 9.9 and 9.2 in the groundwater (alkalinity = 3.89 mM) and the municipal wastewater effluent (alkalinity = 4.97mM), respectively. The pH increases following the cathode resulted in supersaturation with respect to calcite $(CaCO_{3(s)})$ in the groundwater (log SI > 1.31), surface water (log SI > 1.58), and wastewater effluent (log SI > 1.09) beginning at a current density of 5 A m^{-2} . This reaction could result in scaling on the cathode or the ion exchange membrane that might eventually affect system performance. Loss of CaCO_{3(s)} from the system could also result in an overall decrease in pH as water passed through the treatment system. For example, if the surface water solution reached equilibrium at the current density needed to produce 3 mg L^{-1} (0.09 mM) H_2O_2 , 0.74 mmol (74 mg) of calcite would precipitate for each liter of water treated and the pH would have dropped from 9.82 to 7.23. On the basis of the observed pH values, it is evident that equilibrium was not achieved. However, additional research is needed to assess the importance of calcite precipitation to scaling and pH control.

To readjust the solution pH, water leaving the UV reactor was passed through the anode chamber. If no mineral precipitation occurred in the cathode and UV chambers, the final pH should have been equal to the influent pH. A slight decrease in pH was observed in all solutions to which current was applied, with greater pH decreases at higher current densities occurring in the least buffered of the three environmental matrices (i.e., surface water). Under the conditions that would likely be used for treatment (i.e., 5-10A m⁻² and short hydraulic residence times), the final pH was approximately equal to the initial pH.

Anodic Quenching of Residual Hydrogen Peroxide. Due to the relatively low molar absorptivity of H_2O_2 at 254 nm ($\epsilon_{254} = 18.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the limited residence times in the UV reactor ($\tau = 660 \text{ s}$), much of the H_2O_2 passed through the UV chamber without undergoing photolysis. For solutions with relatively low light screening (i.e., electrolyte, synthetic groundwater, and synthetic surface water), between 40% and 50% of the H_2O_2 was photolyzed at current densities ranging from 5 to 25 A m⁻² (Figure 4 and Figure S5, Supporting Information). As expected, less H_2O_2 photolysis occurred in municipal wastewater effluent due to light screening.



Figure 4. Production of H_2O_2 in the cathode, residual H_2O_2 after the UV cell, and residual H_2O_2 after the anode for the four types of source waters at applied current density of 25 A m⁻². See Figure S5, Supporting Information, for data on the production and removal of H_2O_2 over the full range of current densities (5–25 A m⁻²) (WWTP: wastewater treatment plant).

In practice, many centralized treatment plants employ reducing agents (e.g., bisulfite), chlorine, or activated carbon to remove residual H_2O_2 before distribution.³¹ The use of activated carbon or the addition of chemicals, however, may be impractical in a distributed treatment system. Partial removal of H_2O_2 occurred when the solution passed through the anode, especially in the electrolyte solution (Figure 4 and Figure S5, Supporting Information). Anodic removal of H_2O_2 increased with increasing current density (Figure S5, Supporting Information). In the NaCl electrolyte solution, up to 0.12 mM H_2O_2 was removed at 25 A m⁻². For the three source waters, however, the anode only removed about 25% of the amount removed in the electrolyte.

Removal of H_2O_2 in the anode was attributable to a combination of direct anodic oxidation and reactions with oxidants produced on the anode surface. For example, oxidation of chloride can result in the production of hypochlorous acid (HOCl; $pK_a = 7.6$) (Reactions 8 and 9). Hypochlorite reacts rapidly with hydrogen peroxide under alkaline conditions with the bimolecular rate constant increasing from 196 to $7.5 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ from pH 6 to 9 (see the Supporting Information for calculation of the pH-dependent bimolecular rate constant):³²⁻³⁴

$$OCI^{-} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow CI^{-} + O_2 + H_2O$$
 (10)

Although Ti-IrO₂ electrodes have a high electrocatalytic activity with respect to chlorine evolution, only modest concentrations of chlorine were produced in control experiments at varying chloride concentrations due to the short hydraulic residence times and relatively low current densities applied^{35–37} (Table 2). To separate the effects of reactive

Table 2. Chloride-Chlorine Electrochemical Oxidation^a

	current density (A m ⁻²)							
$[Cl^{-}]$ (mM)	2.5	5	10	15	25			
0.5	0	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0.79	17	34			
10	0	0	3.9	25	41			
15	0	0	7.9	32	61			

"Free chlorine production (as μ M Cl[I]) in the anode chamber as a function of applied current density and chloride concentration. Experiments were performed with a stainless steel cathode to prevent H₂O₂ formation, which interferes with the chlorine measurement.

halogen species from direct electrode oxidation on the removal of H_2O_2 , experiments were repeated using an inert electrolyte (i.e., Na_2SO_4) (Figure S6, Supporting Information). H_2O_2 removal was independent of applied current density with 37 $\pm 2 \ \mu M \ H_2O_2$ removed from 5 to 25 A m⁻²; a concentration equivalent to the observed H_2O_2 removal in the anode for the three source waters in Figure S5, Supporting Information. Given the low chloride concentrations (<1 mM) of the simulated surface water and groundwater, it is not surprising that OCl⁻ production was low and only a small quantity of H_2O_2 was removed in the anode.

Despite the electrolyte and municipal wastewater effluent having roughly the same concentration of chloride, H₂O₂ removal was significantly higher in the electrolyte control than in the wastewater effluent, suggesting that reactive halogen species did not play an important role in H₂O₂ removal in the wastewater effluent matrix. NOM is an effective sink of HOCl/ OCl⁻; however, under the experimental conditions used in this study, the half-life of HOCl/OCl⁻ with respect to its reaction with H_2O_2 was much shorter than that predicted for NOM (i.e., 1.39 and 49.3 s, respectively, as described in the Supporting Information).³⁸ As a result, NOM is only a minor sink for HOCl/OCl⁻ in the presence of H₂O₂. This was consistent with observations from experiments in which H₂O₂ removal decreased by less than 40% (58 μ M) when NOM was added to a solution containing a fixed concentration of chloride at a current density of 25 Å m⁻², suggesting that NOM is only partially responsible for the difference in H_2O_2 removal between the two solutions (Figure S6, Supporting Information).

The apparent discrepancy between municipal wastewater effluent and the sodium chloride solution may be partially attributable to differences in pH values in the anode chamber.³⁹ At higher pH values, like those found in the municipal wastewater effluent after anodic treatment, there is a larger driving force for oxygen evolution compared to Cl₂ production:

$$O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \leftrightarrow H_2O \quad E_H^\circ = 1.23V \tag{11}$$

$$Cl_2 + 2e^- \leftrightarrow 2Cl^- \quad E_H^\circ = 1.36V$$
 (12)

Experiments conducted in the anode chamber at different pH values confirmed that chlorine production increased substantially as pH dropped from 9 to 7 (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Due to the presence of bicarbonate in the municipal wastewater effluent, the anode pH was considerably higher (i.e., \sim 8) during treatment than in the unbuffered NaCl electrolyte, where pH decreased to approximately 6 during anodic treatment. As a result, considerably more HOCl/OCl⁻ was produced in the anode chamber when the electrolyte was treated.

Total hydrogen peroxide removal in the system (i.e., photolysis and anodic loss) was $48 \pm 5\%$ for the simulated groundwater, $49 \pm 3\%$ for the simulated surface water, and 25 \pm 3% for the wastewater effluent for the array of current densities tested. At the current density required to produce 3 mg L^{-1} (0.09 mM) H₂O₂, water leaving the treatment system effluent contained 1.5–2.3 mg L^{-1} H₂O₂. Although H₂O₂ does not pose a health risk at these concentrations, its presence in potable water may be undesirable. In a point-of-use water treatment system, it might be possible to remove the excess H₂O₂ by passing it through activated carbon or a high surface area catalyst consisting of metal oxide⁴⁰ or silver.⁴¹ Alternatively, the efficiency of chloride oxidation in the anode chamber might be improved through the use of threedimensional or porous electrodes that reduce mass transfer limitations or through the use of more catalytic anode materials.5

Anodic Transformation of Trace Organic Contaminants. Despite only accounting for a small fraction of the total removal observed in the treatment system, the anode did transform some of the compounds. Experiments conducted in the absence of UV exposure with solutions amended with 10 μ g L⁻¹ of trace organic contaminants indicated that direct anodic oxidation resulted in the removal of up to 20% of certain trace organics (e.g., propranolol) at a current density of 25 A m⁻² (Figure S8, Supporting Information). Oxidation of organic compounds on the anode could be increased through the use of inactive anodes (e.g., boron-doped diamond, doped-SnO₂, PbO₂).^{S,37} These electrodes, however, have higher capital and operating costs than Ti-IrO₂ electrodes.

Although carbamazepine is relatively unreactive with hypochlorite, other compounds (e.g., propranolol and sulfamethoxazole) react with HOCl (Table S3, Supporting Information). However, the presence of H_2O_2 reduced the importance of reactions between trace organics and chlorine species generated at the anode because chlorine preferentially reacts with H_2O_2 . As a result, nearly all of the observed loss of the test compounds in the anode chamber was due to direct oxidation on the anode surface. This observation was consistent with experiments comparing anodic removal of trace organics

in the presence and absence of H_2O_2 (Figure S9, Supporting Information).

Long-term Cathode Performance. The performance of gas diffusion electrodes can decrease over time due to clogging of the pores by precipitates, fouling with NOM as well as charge transfer resistance attributable to the loss of conductive graphite paste.⁴² In a long-term trial, cathode performance (i.e., H_2O_2 production at a fixed current density) decreased by less than 2% after 6000 L of tap water amended with 5 mM Na₂SO₄ was passed through the system at an applied current density of 15 A m⁻² (Figure S10, Supporting Information). Calcium carbonate scaling due to the elevated pH and migration of calcium ions in the tap water into the cathode chamber was observed on the interior of the cathode. Nonetheless, H_2O_2 production was unaffected during this 50 day test. Additional experiments are needed to assess the importance of scaling and the efficacy of simple descaling approaches (i.e., polarization reversal) over longer time periods and more realistic operating conditions.

System Energy Consumption. The treatment system used electricity to produce the oxidant (i.e., H_2O_2) and to convert it into HO[•] (i.e., the UV lamp). Electrical energy per order (E_{EO}) is a useful figure of merit for comparing the efficiency and cost of the treatment system with other AOPs. E_{EO} is the electrical energy (in kWh) required to reduce a contaminant concentration by 1 order of magnitude in 1 m³ of water:⁴³⁻⁴⁵

$$E_{\rm EO} = \frac{P}{Q \log\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)} \tag{13}$$

where *P* (kW) is the electrical power for the electrochemical cell and UV lamp, *Q* (m³ h⁻¹) is the system flow rate, and *C*₀ and *C* (M) are the initial and final contaminant concentrations. Without the production of H₂O₂, *E*_{EO} values ranged from 16.8 \pm 0.3 to 28.1 \pm 0.2 kWh m⁻³ order ⁻¹, with larger amounts of energy needed to transform contaminants in waters that contained high concentrations of HO[•] scavengers and chromophores (i.e., municipal wastewater effluent and synthetic groundwater) (Figure 5). A substantial decrease in *E*_{EO} occurred when current was applied to the electrochemical cell (i.e., from 0 to 5 A m⁻²). As current density increased from 5 to 25 A m⁻², the *E*_{EO} decreased by less than 11%. These data



Figure 5. Electrical energy per order (E_{EO}) for the removal of carbamazepine as a function of current density (WWTP: wastewater treatment plant).

indicate that the UV–H₂O₂ AOP system is much more efficient than the use of UV alone and that there is a marginal benefit associated with the production of higher H₂O₂ concentrations in the cathode because the reactions become less efficient at higher concentrations of H₂O₂. Only 8–14% of the energy demand was attributable to the electrochemical production of H₂O₂, with the majority of the energy required for the operation of the low-pressure UV lamp. At a current density of 25 A m⁻², an energy requirement of 1.08 ± 0.1 to 2.84 ± 0.1 kWh m⁻³ order⁻¹ was observed, which was similar to results from previous studies of the transformation of trace organic compounds by UV/H₂O₂ in different source waters.¹⁰

The high Coulombic efficiency and low current densities result in a low-cost means of H2O2 production even for treatment of water with low conductivity. For comparison, electrochemically produced H₂O₂ costs between 0.1 and 0.3 \$ kg^{-1} , while H_2O_2 produced by the anthraquinone process typically costs between 1 and 2 $\text{$kg}^{-1.46}$ When considering the lack of a need to transport, store, and handle H2O2 as well as modest capital and operational costs, the modular AOP treatment system can be a competitive technology for pointof-use treatment at a household and community level or even for wellhead treatment of trace organic contaminants present in potable water sources. As H2O2 production was directly proportional to current density, the treatment system could be scaled up by using faster flow rates accompanied by higher applied current densities or by increasing surface area of the cathode. To obtain the equivalent contaminant removal after scale-up, optimization of the UV reactor geometry would be required. Additional research is needed to assess long-term system performance under realistic operating conditions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Details of the calculations for fluence rate, photolysis rates, H_2O_2 half-life, oxidant branching ratios, E_{EO} , and methods for test compound analysis in addition to supporting tables, schematics, and figures referenced in this work. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.5b01254.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: sedlak@berkeley.edu; phone: 510-643-0256; fax: 202-354-4914.

Author Contributions

[#]J.M.B. and T.H. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the U.S. National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Superfund Research Program (Grant P42 ES004705) and the Superfund Research Center at University of California, Berkeley. T.H. was also supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO Vlaanderen). The authors thank Dr. Oskar Modin for his guidance with the fabrication of the gas diffusion electrode and Dr. Jelena Radjenovic for her insightful comments.

REFERENCES

(1) Larsen, A. T.; Udert, K. M.; Lienert, J. Source separation and decentralization for wastewater management; IWA Publishing: London, 2013; p 491.

(2) Benotti, M. J.; Trenholm, R. A.; Vanderford, B. J.; Holady, J. C.; Stanford, B. D.; Snyder, S. A. Pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in US drinking water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (3), 597–603.

(3) Butkovskyi, A.; Jeremiasse, A. W.; Leal, L. H.; van der Zande, T.; Rijnaarts, H.; Zeeman, G. Electrochemical conversion of micropollutants in gray water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (3), 1893– 1901.

(4) Hernandez-Leal, L.; Temmink, H.; Zeeman, G.; Buisman, C. J. N. Removal of micropollutants from aerobically treated grey water via ozone and activated carbon. *Water Res.* **2011**, *45* (9), 2887–2896.

(5) Chaplin, B. P. Critical review of electrochemical advanced oxidation processes for water treatment applications. *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **2014**, *16* (6), 1182–1203.

(6) Shu, Z. Q.; Bolton, J. R.; Belosevic, M.; El Din, M. G. Photodegradation of emerging micropollutants using the medium-pressure UV/H_2O_2 advanced oxidation process. *Water Res.* **2013**, 47 (8), 2881–2889.

(7) Rosario-Ortiz, F. L.; Wert, E. C.; Snyder, S. A. Evaluation of UV/ H_2O_2 treatment for the oxidation of pharmaceuticals in wastewater. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44* (5), 1440–1448.

(8) Pereira, V. J.; Weinberg, H. S.; Linden, K. G.; Singer, P. C. UV degradation kinetics and modeling of pharmaceutical compounds in laboratory grade and surface water via direct and indirect photolysis at 254 nm. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (5), 1682–1688.

(9) Pereira, V. J.; Linden, K. G.; Weinberg, H. S. Evaluation of UV irradiation for photolytic and oxidative degradation of pharmaceutical compounds in water. *Water Res.* **2007**, *41* (19), 4413–4423.

(10) Katsoyiannis, I. A.; Canonica, S.; von Gunten, U. Efficiency and energy requirements for the transformation of organic micropollutants by ozone, $O-3/H_2O_2$ and UV/H_2O_2 . *Water Res.* **2011**, 45 (13), 3811–3822.

(11) Campos-Martin, J. M.; Blanco-Brieva, G.; Fierro, J. L. G. Hydrogen peroxide synthesis: An outlook beyond the anthraquinone process. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45* (42), 6962–6984.

(12) Yan, H.; Fangong, K.; Shoujuan, W.; Guihua, Y. Novel gas diffusion electrode system for effective production of hydrogen peroxide. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2014**, 496–500, 159–162.

(13) Rozendal, R. A.; Leone, E.; Keller, J.; Rabaey, K. Efficient hydrogen peroxide generation from organic matter in a bioelectrochemical system. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2009**, *11* (9), 1752–1755.

(14) Modin, O.; Fukushi, K. Production of high concentrations of H_2O_2 in a bioelectrochemical reactor fed with real municipal wastewater. *Environ. Technol.* **2013**, *34* (19), 2737–2742.

(15) Brillas, E.; Sires, I.; Oturan, M. A. Electro-Fenton process and related electrochemical technologies based on Fenton's reaction chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109* (12), 6570–6631.

(16) Eisenberg, G. M. Colorimetric determination of hydrogen peroxide. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1943**, *15* (5), 327–328.

(17) American Public Health Association; Eaton, A. D.; American Water Works Association; Water Environment Federation. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*; APHA-AWWA-WEF: Washington, D.C., 2005.

(18) Dotson, A. D.; Keen, V. S.; Metz, D.; Linden, K. G. UV/H_2O_2 treatment of drinking water increases post-chlorination DBP formation. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44* (12), 3703–3713.

(19) Canonica, S.; Meunier, L.; Von Gunten, U. Phototransformation of selected pharmaceuticals during UV treatment of drinking water. *Water Res.* **2008**, 42 (1-2), 121–128.

(20) Bolton, J. R.; Linden, K. G. Standardization of methods for fluence (UV dose) determination in bench-scale UV experiments. *J. Environ. Eng.*-ASCE **2003**, *129* (3), 209–215.

(21) Jasper, J. T.; Jones, Z. L.; Sharp, J. O.; Sedlak, D. L. Biotransformation of trace organic contaminants in open-water unit

Environmental Science & Technology

process treatment wetlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 48 (9), 5136-5144.

(22) Foller, P. C.; Bombard, R. T. Processes for the production of mixtures of caustic soda and hydrogen-peroxide via the reduction of oxygen. J. Appl. Electrochem. **1995**, 25 (7), 613–627.

(23) DDB Engineering, Inc. Groundwater Replenishment System 2013 Annual Report; DDB Engineering, Inc.: Irvine, CA, 2013.

(24) Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Gschwend, P. M.; Imboden, D. M. Environmental Organic Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2002.

(25) Dong, M. M.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Photochemical formation of hydroxyl radical from effluent organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 46 (7), 3788–3794.

(26) Lester, Y.; Sharpless, C. M.; Mamane, H.; Linden, K. G. Production of photo-oxidants by dissolved organic matter during UV water treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (20), 11726–11733.

(27) Sharpless, C. M.; Linden, K. G. Experimental and model comparisons of low- and medium-pressure Hg lamps for the direct and H_2O_2 assisted UV photodegradation of N-nitrosodimethylamine in simulated drinking water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, 37 (9), 1933–1940.

(28) Jasper, J. T.; Sedlak, D. L. Phototransformation of wastewaterderived trace organic contaminants in open-water unit process treatment wetlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (19), 10781– 10790.

(29) Grebel, J. E.; Pignatello, J. J.; Mitch, W. A. Effect of halide ions and carbonates on organic contaminant degradation by hydroxyl radical-based advanced oxidation processes in saline waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (17), 6822–6828.

(30) Rozendal, R. A.; Hamelers, H. V. M; Buisman, C. J. N. Effects of membrane cation transport on pH and microbial fuel cell performance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (17), 5206–5211.

(31) Watts, M. J.; Hofmann, R.; Rosenfeldt, E. J. Low-pressure UV/ Cl₂ for advanced oxidation of taste and odor. *J. - Am. Water Works Assoc.* **2012**, *104* (1), 47–48.

(32) Held, A. M.; Halko, D. J.; Hurst, J. K. Mechanisms of chlorine oxidation of hydrogen peroxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100 (18), 5732–5740.

(33) Connick, R. E. The interaction of hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorous acid in acidic solution containing chloride ion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1947**, 69 (6), 1509–1514.

(34) VonGunten, U.; Oliveras, Y. Kinetics of the reaction between hydrogen peroxide and hypobromous acid: Implication on water treatment and natural systems. *Water Res.* **1997**, *31* (4), 900–906.

(35) Jeong, J.; Kim, C.; Yoon, J. The effect of electrode material on the generation of oxidants and microbial inactivation in the electrochemical disinfection processes. *Water Res.* **2009**, *43* (4), 895–901.

(36) Bagastyo, A. Y.; Radjenovic, J.; Mu, Y.; Rozendal, R. A.; Batstone, D. J.; Rabaey, K. Electrochemical oxidation of reverse osmosis concentrate on mixed metal oxide (MMO) titanium coated electrodes. *Water Res.* **2011**, *45* (16), 4951–4959.

(37) Szpyrkowicz, L.; Kaul, S. N.; Neti, R. N.; Satyanarayan, S. Influence of anode material on electrochemical oxidation for the treatment of tannery wastewater. *Water Res.* **2005**, 39 (8), 1601–1613.

(38) Zhai, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, X.; Liu, J.; Ji, M. Formation of brominated disinfection byproducts during chloramination of drinking water: New polar species and overall kinetics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (5), 2579–2588.

(39) Consonni, V.; Trasatti, S.; Pollak, F.; Ogrady, W. E. Mechanism of chlorine evolution on oxide anodes - Study of pH effects. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1987**, 228 (1–2), 393–406.

(40) Salem, I. A.; El-Maazawi, M.; Zaki, A. B. Kinetics and mechanisms of decomposition reaction of hydrogen peroxide in presence of metal complexes. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2000**, 32 (11), 643–666.

(41) Anipsitakis, G. P.; Dionysiou, D. D. Radical generation by the interaction of transition metals with common oxidants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, 38 (13), 3705–3712.

(42) Zhang, F.; Pant, D.; Logan, B. E. Long-term performance of activated carbon air cathodes with different diffusion layer porosities in microbial fuel cells. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2011**, *30* (1), 49–55.

(43) Bolton, J. R.; Bircher, K. G.; Tumas, W.; Tolman, C. A. Figuresof-merit for the technical development and application of advanced oxidation technologies for both electric- and solar-driven systems -(IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2001**, *73* (4), 627–637.

(44) Bolton, J. R.; Stefan, M. I. Fundamental photochemical approach to the concepts of fluence (UV dose) and electrical energy efficiency in photochemical degradation reactions. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 2002, 28 (7–9), 857–870.

(45) Cater, S. R.; Stefan, M. I.; Bolton, J. R.; Safarzadeh-Amiri, A. UV/H_2O_2 treatment of methyl tert-butyl ether in contaminated waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, 34 (4), 659–662.

(46) Jones, C. W. Applications of hydrogen peroxide and derivates; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, U.K., 1999.

(47) Appiani, E.; Page, S. E.; McNeill, K. On the use of hydroxyl radical kinetics to assess the number-average molecular weight of dissolved organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (20), 11794–11802.

(48) Buxton, G. V.; Greenstock, C. L.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms, and hydroxyl radicals $(\cdot OH/\cdot O^{-})$ in aqueous solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1988**, 17 (2), 513–886.